CETIFICATION

SDG No:

JC19855

Humacao, PR

Laboratory:

Accutest, New Jersey

Site:

BMS, Building 5 Area, PR

Matrix:

Groundwater

SUMMARY:

Groundwater samples (Table 1) were collected on the BMSMC facility – Building 5 Area. The BMSMC facility is located in Humacao, PR. Samples were taken May 5-6, 2016 and were analyzed in Accutest Laboratory of Dayton, New Jersey for the ABN TCL Special List (1,4-Dioxane and Naphthalene were analyzed following the SIM technique); TCL pesticides list; and for low molecular weight alcohols (LMWA) the results were reported under SDG No.: JC19855. Results were validated using the latest validation guidelines (July, 2015) of the EPA Hazardous Waste Support Section. The analyses performed are shown in Table 1. Individual data review worksheets are enclosed for each target analyte group. The data sample organic data samples summary form shows for analytes results that were qualified.

In summary the results are valid and can be used for decision taking purposes.

Table 1. Samples analyzed and analysis performed

SAMPLE ID	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	MATRIX	ANALYSIS PERFORMED
JC19855-1	BPEB-14	AQ – Equipment Blank	ABN TCL special list; pesticides TCL list; LMWA
JC19855-2	RA5-GWD	Groundwater	ABN TCL special list; pesticides TCL list; LMWA

Reviewer Name:

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Signature:

Date:

May 30, 2016

- ENCA 158493

LIC #1

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 3

Client Sample ID: Lab Sample ID:

BPEB-14

JC19855-1

Date Sampled:

Q

05/05/16

Matrix: Method: AQ - Equipment Blank SW846 8270D SW846 3510C

DF

1

Date Received: 05/07/16

Project:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Percent Solids: n/a

Run #1

File ID P104693.D Analyzed Ву 05/09/16 LK Prep Date 05/08/16

Prep Batch OP93718

Analytical Batch EP4614

Run #2

Initial Volume 1000 ml

Final Volume 1.0 ml

Run #1 Run #2

ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Unit
95-57-8	2-Chlorophenol	ND	5.0	0.82	ug/l
59-50-7	4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	ND	5.0	0.89	ug/l
120-83-2	2,4-Dichlorophenol	ND	2.0	1.3	ug/l
105-67-9	2,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	5.0	2.4	ug/l
51-28-5	2,4-Dinitrophenol	ND	10	1.6	ug/l
534-52-1	4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	ND	5.0	1.3	ug/l
95-48-7	2-Methylphenol	ND	2.0	0.89	ug/l
	3&4-Methylphenol	ND	2.0	0.88	ug/l
88-75-5	2-Nitrophenol	ND	5.0	0.96	ug/l
100-02-7	4-Nitrophenol	ND	10	1.2	ug/l
87-86-5	Pentachlorophenol	ND	5.0	1.4	ug/l
108-95-2	Phenol	ND	2.0	0.39	ug/l
58-90-2	2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	ND	5.0	1.5	ug/l
95-95-4	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	ND	5.0	1.3	ug/l
88-06-2	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ND	5.0	0.92	ug/l
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	1.0	0.19	ug/l
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	1.0	0.14	ug/l
98-86-2	Acetophenone	ND	2.0	0.21	ug/l
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l
1912-24-9	Atrazine	ND	2.0	0.45	ug/l
100-52-7	Benzaldehyde	ND	5.0	0.29	ug/l
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	1.0	0.20	ug/l
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	1.0	0.34	ug/l
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l
101-55-3	4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	ND	2.0	0.40	ug/l
85-68-7	Butyl benzyl phthalate	ND	2.0	0.46	ug/l
92-52-4	1,1'-Biphenyl	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l
91-58-7	2-Chloronaphthalene	ND	2.0	0.24	ug/l
106-47-8	4-Chloroaniline	ND	5.0	0.34	ug/l
86-74-8	Carbazole	ND	1.0	0.23	ug/l
					_

Rafael Infante Méndez LIC # 188

ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Method:

Project:

4.

Report of Analysis

Client Sample ID: BPEB-14

Lab Sample ID: JC19855-1
Matrix: AO - Equip

AQ - Equipment Blank

SW846 8270D SW846 3510C BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR Date Sampled: 05/05/16
Date Received: 05/07/16

Percent Solids: n/a

ABN TCL Special List

	• 100					
CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDŁ	Units	Q
105-60-2	Caprolactam	ND	2.0	0.65	ug/l	
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	1.0	0.18	ug/l	
111-91-1	bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	ND	2.0	0.28	ug/l	
111-44-4	bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	ND	2.0	0.25	ug/l	
108-60-1	bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	ND	2.0	0.40	ug/l	
7005-72-3	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	ND	2.0	0.37	ug/l	
121-14-2	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ND	1.0	0.55	ug/l	
606-20-2	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	ND	1.0	0.48	ug/l	
91-94-1	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	ND	2.0	0.51	ug/l	
53-70-3	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ND	1.0	0.33	ug/l	
132-64-9	Dibenzofuran	ND	5.0	0.22	ug/l	
84-74-2	Di-n-butyl phthalate	ND	2.0	0.50	ug/l	
117-84-0	Di-n-octyl phthalate	ND	2.0	0.23	ug/l	
84-66-2	Diethyl phthalate	ND	2.0	0.26	ug/l	
131-11-3	Dimethyl phthalate	ND	2.0	0.22	ug/l	
117-81-7	bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	ND	2.0	1.7	ug/l	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	1.0	0.17	ug/l	
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	1.0	0.17	ug/l	
118-74-1	Hexachlorobenzene	ND	1.0	0.33	ug/l	
87-68-3	Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.0	0.49	ug/l	
77-47-4	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ND	10	2.8	ug/I	
67-72-1	Hexachloroethane	ND	2.0	0.39	ug/t	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	1.0	0.33	ug/l	
78-59-1	Isophorone	ND	2.0	0.28	ug/i	
90-12-0	1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	1.0	0.26	ug/l	
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	1.0	0.21	ug/l	
88-74-4	2-Nitroaniline	ND	5.0	0.28	ug/l	**
99-09-2	3-Nitroaniline	ND	5.0	0.39	ug/l	
100-01-6	4-Nitroaniline	ND	5.0	0.44	ug/l	-001400
98-95-3	Nitrobenzene	ND	2.0	0.64	ug/l	Rafael Infante Méndez LIC # 1888
621-64-7	N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	ND	2.0	0.48	ug/l	33
86-30-6	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ND	5.0	0.22	ug/l	Rafael Infante
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	1.0	0.18	ug/l	Rafael Infante Méndez LIC # 1888
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	1.0	0.22	ug/l	LIC # 1888
95-94-3	1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	ND	2.0	0.37	ug/l	
					_	CIMICA NEWC.HOO
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Lim	its	SCU I INEMULA
367-12-4	2-Fluorophenol	52%		14-8	8%	
4165-62-2	Phenol-d5	34%		10-1	10%	



MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

Report of Analysis

Client Sample ID: **BPEB-14** Lab Sample ID:

JC19855-1

AQ - Equipment Blank

Date Sampled: 05/05/16 Date Received: 05/07/16 Percent Solids: n/a

Matrix: Method: Project:

SW846 8270D SW846 3510C BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Limits
118-79-6	2,4,6-Tribromophenol	97%		39-149%
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	87%		32-128%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	84%		35-119%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	86%		10-126%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Report of Analysis

Ву

LK

Page 1 of 1

C	lic	nt	Sam	ple ID:	BPEB-1
	4	-			TO

Lab Sample ID: Matrix:

JC19855-1

4 AQ - Equipment Blank

1

Prep Date

05/08/16

Date Sampled: 05/05/16 Date Received: 05/07/16

Percent Solids: n/a

Method: Project:

SW846 8270D BY SIM SW846 3510C BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

File ID DF Analyzed

Analytical Batch Prep Batch OP93718A E4M2910

Run #1 Run #2

	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	1000 ml	1.0 ml

4M65180.D

Run #2

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
91-20-3 123-91-1	Naphthalene 1,4-Dioxane	ND ND	0.10 0.10	0.029 0.049	ug/l ug/l	
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Lim	its	
4165-60-0 321-60-8 1718-51-0	Nitrobenzene-d5 2-Fluorobiphenyl Terphenyl-d14	104% 90% 96%		19-1	25% 27% 19%	

05/09/16



MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

ND = Not detected

Report of Analysis

Ву

XPL

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: Lab Sample ID:

BPEB-14

JC19855-1

Date Sampled:

05/05/16

Matrix: Method: AQ - Equipment Blank SW846-8015C (DAI)

DF

I

Date Received:

05/07/16

Project:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Percent Solids: n/a

Run #1 Run #2 File ID GH105034.D

Analyzed 05/18/16

Prep Date π/a

Prep Batch n/a

Q

Analytical Batch

GGH5289

Low Molecular Alcohol List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units
64-17-5	Ethanol	ND	100	55	ug/l
78-83-1	Isobutyi Alcohol	ND	100	36	ug/l
67-63-0	Isopropyl Alcohol	ND	100	68	ug/l
71-23-8	n-Propyl Alcohol	ND	100	43	ug/l
71-36-3	n-Butyl Alcohol	ND	100	87	ug/l
78-92-2	sec-Butyl Alcohol	ND	100	66	ug/l
67-56-1	Methanol	ND	200	71	ug/l
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Lim	its
111-27-3	Hexanol	106%		56-1	45%
111-27-3	Hexanol	111%		56-1	45%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Report of Analysis

Ву

BP

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:

BPEB-14

Lab Sample ID:

JC19855-1

Matrix:

AQ - Equipment Blank

DF

1

Method:

SW846 8081B SW846 3510C

Prep Date

05/08/16

Date Sampled: 05/05/16 Date Received: 05/07/16

Percent Solids: n/a

Project:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Analyzed

05/09/16

Prep Batch

Q

OP93720

Analytical Batch G4G1784

Run #1 Run #2

Initial Volume

4G68047.D

Final Volume

950 ml

File ID

10.0 ml

Run #1 Run #2

Pesticide TCL List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	
309-00-2	Aldrin	ND	0.011	0.0064	ug/l	
319-84-6	alpha-BHC	ND	0.011	0.0063	ug/l	
319-85-7	beta-BHC	ND	0.011	0.0060	ug/l	
319-86-8	delta-BHC	ND	0.011	0.0048	ug/l	
58-89-9	gamma-BHC (Lindane)	ND	0.011	0.0029	ug/l	
5103-71-9	alpha-Chlordane	ND	0.011	0.0049	ug/l	
5103-74-2	gamma-Chlordane	ND	0.011	0.0048	ug/l	
60-57-1	Dieldrin	ND	0.011	0.0038	ug/l	
72-54-8	4,4'-DDD	ND	0.011	0.0040	ug/l	
72-55-9	4,4'-DDE	ND	0.011	0.0065	ug/l	
50-29-3	4,4'-DDT	ND	0.011	0.0052	ug/l	
72-20-8	Endrin	ND	0.011	0.0053	ug/l	
1031-07-8	Endosulfan sulfate	ND	0.011	0.0055	ug/l	
7421-93-4	Endrin aldehyde	ND	0.011	0.0054	ug/l	
53494-70-5	Endrin ketone	ND	0.011	0.0053	ug/l	
959-98-8	Endosulfan-I	ND	0.011	0.0052	ug/l	
33213-65-9	Endosulfan-II	ND	0.011	0.0045	ug/l	
76-44-8	Heptachlor	ND	0.011	0.0040	ug/l	
1024-57-3	Heptachlor epoxide	ND	0.011	0.0069	ug/l	
72-43-5	Methoxychlor	ND	0.021	0.0060	սց/1	
8001-35-2	Toxaphene	ND	0.26	0.19	ug/l	
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limi	ts	
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	110%		26-13	32%	
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	114%		26-13	32%	1
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	44%		10-11	18%	1
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	42%		10-11	18%	11
						1



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Report of Analysis

Ву

Page 1 of 3

Client Sample ID: RA5-GWD

Lab Sample ID:

JC19855-2

Matrix:

File ID

P104692.D

AQ - Ground Water

SW846 8270D SW846 3510C

Date Sampled: 05/06/16 Date Received:

Percent Solids: n/a

Prep Batch

05/07/16

Method: Project:

Run #1

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Analytical Batch EP4614

Run #2 P104710.D **Initial Volume**

100 **Final Volume**

DF

1

05/09/16 LK 05/10/16 LK

Analyzed

05/08/16 05/08/16

Prep Date

OP93718 OP93718

Q

EP4615

Run #1 950 ml Run #2 950 ml

1.0 ml 1.0 ml

ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units
95-57-8	2-Chlorophenol	ND	5.3	0.86	ug/l
59-50-7	4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	ND	5.3	0.94	ug/l
120-83-2	2,4-Dichlorophenol	ND	2.1	1.3	ug/l
105-67-9	2,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	5.3	2.6	ug/l
51-28-5	2,4-Dinitrophenol	ND	11	1.6	ug/l
534-52-1	4.6-Dinitro-o-cresol	ND	5.3	1.4	ug/l
95-48-7	2-Methylphenol	ND	2.1	0.93	ug/l
	3&4-Methylphenol	ND	2.1	0.93	ug/l
88-75-5	2-Nitrophenol	ND	5.3	1.0	ug/l
100-02-7	4-Nitrophenol	ND	11	1.2	ug/l
87-86-5	Pentachlorophenol	ND	5.3	1.5	ug/l
108-95-2	Phenol	ND	2.1	0.41	ug/l
58-90-2	2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	ND	5.3	1.5	ug/l
95-95-4	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	ND	5.3	1.4	ug/l
88-06-2	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ND	5.3	0.97	ug/l
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	1.1	0.20	ug/l
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	1.1	0.14	ug/l
98-86-2	Acetophenone	ND	2.1	0.22	ug/l
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	1.1	0.22	ug/l
1912-24-9	Atrazine	ND	2.1	0.47	ug/I
100-52-7	Benzaldehyde	0.84	5.3	0.30	ug/l
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	1.1	0.21	ug/l
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	1.1	0.22	ug/l
205-99-2	Benzo(b) fluoranthene	ND	1.1	0.22	ug/l
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	1.1	0.36	ug/l
207-08-9	Benzo(k) fluoranthene	ND	1.1	0.22	ug/l
101-55-3	4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	ND	2.1	0.43	ug/l
85-68-7	Butyl benzyl phthalate	ND	2.1	0.48	ug/l
92-52-4	1,1'-Biphenyl	ND	1.1	0.22	ug/l
91-58-7	2-Chloronaphthalene	ND	2.1	0.25	ug/l
106-47-8	4-Chloroaniline	ND	5.3	0.36	ug/l
86-74-8	Carbazole	ND	1.1	0.24	ug/l
					_

ofael Infante Méndez IC # 1886

ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N - Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

J

Method:

Project:

Page 2 of 3

Report of Analysis

Client Sample ID: RA5-GWD Lab Sample ID: JC19855-2 Matrix:

AQ - Ground Water SW846 8270D SW846 3510C

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Date Sampled: 05/06/16 Date Received: 05/07/16 Percent Solids: n/a

Q

ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL,	MDL	Units
105-60-2	Caprolactam	ND	2.1	0.68	ug/l
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	1.1	0.19	ug/l
111-91-1	bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	ND	2.1	0.29	ug/l
111-44-4	bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	ND	2.1	0.26	ug/l
108-60-1	his(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	ND	2.1	0.42	ug/l
7005-72-3	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	ND	2.1	0.39	ug/l
121-14-2	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ND	1.1	0.58	ug/l
606-20-2	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	ND	1.1	0.50	ug/l
91-94-1	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	ND	2.1	0.53	ug/l
123-91-1	1,4-Dioxane	3190 a	110	69	ug/l
53-70-3	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ND	1.1	0.35	ug/l
132-64-9	Dibenzofuran	ND	5.3	0.23	ug/l
84-74-2	Di-n-butyl phthalate	ND	2.1	0.52	ug/l
117-84-0	Di-n-octyl phthalate	ND	2.1	0.25	ug/l
84-66-2	Diethyl phthalate	ND	2.1	0.28	ug/l
131-11-3	Dimethyl phthalate	ND	2.1	0.23	ug/l
117-81-7	bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	ND	2.1	1.7	ug/l
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	1.1	0.18	ug/l
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	1.1	0.18	ug/l
118-74-1	Hexachlorobenzene	ND	1.1	0.34	ug/l
87-68-3	Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.1	0.52	ug/l
77-47-4	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ND	11	2.9	ug/l
67-72-1	Hexachloroethane	ND	2.1	0.41	ug/l
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	1.1	0.35	ug/l
78-59-1	Isophorone	ND	2.1	0.29	ug/l
90-12-0	1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	1:1	0.28	ug/l
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	1.1	0.22	ug/l
88-74-4	2-Nitroaniline	ND	5.3	0.29	ug/l
99-09-2	3-Nitroaniline	ND	5.3	0.41	ug/l
100-01-6	4-Nitroaniline	ND	5.3	0.46	ug/l
98-95-3	Nitrobenzene	ND	2.1	0.68	ug/l
621-64-7	N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	ND	2.1	0.51	ug/l
86-30-6	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ND	5.3	0.23	ug/l
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	1.1	0.18	ug/l
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	1.1	0.23	ug/l
95-94-3	1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	ND	2.1	0.39	ug/l
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Lim	its
367-12-4	2-Fluorophenol	47%	0% h	14-8	8%

MDL = Method Detection Limit

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

fael Infante Méndez

ND = Not detected

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range



Report of Analysis

Page 3 of 3

Client Sample ID: **RA5-GWD** Lab Sample ID: JC19855-2

Matrix:

AQ - Ground Water

Date Sampled: Date Received:

05/06/16 05/07/16

Method: Project:

SW846 8270D SW846 3510C BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR Percent Solids: n/a

ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
4165-62-2	Phenol-d5	34%	0% b	10-110%
118-79-6	2,4,6-Tribromophenol	87%	0% b	39-149%
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	79%	0% b	32-128%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	78%	0% և	35-119%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	61%	0% և	10-126%

(a) Result is from Run# 2

(b) Outside control limits due to dilution.



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

J = Indicates an estimated value

RL = Reporting Limit

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

4M65179.D

SGS Accutest

1718-51-0

Terphenyl-d14

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client San Lab Samp Matrix: Method: Project:	le ID: JC198 AQ - (SW84)	55-2 Ground Wa 6 8270D B	iter Y SIM SW846 3 ng 5 Area, PR	3510C		Date	<u>-</u>	5/06/16 5/07/16 a
Run #1 Run #2	File ID 4M65179.D	DF 1	Analyzed 05/09/16	By LK	Prep D 05/08/1		Prep Batch OP93718A	Analytical Batch E4M2910
Run #1 Run #2	Initial Volume 950 ml	Final V	olume					
CAS No.	Compound		Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q	
91-20-3	Naphthalene		ND	0.11	0.031	ug/l		
CAS No.	Surrogate Re	coveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Lim	its		
4165-60-0 321-60-8	Nitrobenzene- 2-Fluorobipho		93% 74%			25% 27%		

69%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

J = Indicates an estimated value

10-119%

RL = Reporting Limit

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

Report of Analysis

By

XPL

Prep Date

n/a

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: RA5-GWD

Lab Sample ID: JC19855-2

File ID

GH105036.D

Matrix: Method:

AQ - Ground Water

SW846-8015C (DAI)

DF

1

Date Sampled: Date Received:

05/06/16 05/07/16

Percent Solids:

Q

n/a

Project:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Analyzed

05/18/16

Prep Batch **Analytical Batch** n/a **GGH5289**

Run #1 Run #2

Low Molecular Alcohol List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units
64-17-5	Ethanol	ND	100	55	ug/l
78-83-1	Isobutyl Alcohol	ND	100	36	ug/l
67-63-0	Isopropyl Alcohol	ND	100	68	ug/l
71-23-8	n-Propyl Alcohol	ND	100	43	ug/l
71-36-3	n-Butyl Alcohol	ND	100	87	ug/l
78-92-2	sec-Butyl Alcohol	ND	100	66	ug/l
67-56-1	Methanol	ND	200	71	ug/l
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Lim	its
111-27-3	Нехалоі	109%		56-1	45%
111-27-3	Hexanol	116%		56-1	45%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Report of Analysis

Ву

BP

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: RA5-GWD

Lab Sample ID:

JC19855-2

Matrix:

AQ - Ground Water

DF

1

Method:

SW846 8081B SW846 3510C

Date Sampled: Date Received:

05/06/16 05/07/16

Percent Solids: n/a

Prep Batch

OP93720

Project:

File ID

4G68048.D

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Analyzed

05/09/16

Q

Prep Date

05/08/16

Analytical Batch G4G1784

Run #1 Run #2

Initial Volume **Final Volume**

Run #1 950 ml 10.0 ml

Run #2

Pesticide TCL List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDŁ	Units
309-00-2	Aldrin	ND	0.011	0.0064	ug/l
319-84-6	alpha-BHC	ND	0.011	0.0063	ug/l
319-85-7	beta-BHC	ND	0.011	0.0060	ug/l
319-86-8	delta-BHC	ND	0.011	0.0048	ug/l
58-89-9	gamma-BHC (Lindane)	ND	0.011	0.0029	ug/l
5103-71-9	alpha-Chlordane	ND	0.011	0.0049	ug/l
5103-74-2	gamma-Chlordane	ND	0.011	0.0048	ug/l
60-57-1	Dieldrin	ND	0.011	0.0038	ug/l
72-54-8	4,4"-DDD	ND	0.011	0.0040	ug/l
72-55-9	4,4'-DDE	ND	0.011	0.0065	ug/l
50-29-3	4,4'-DDT	ND	0.011	0.0052	ug/l
72-20-8	Endrin	ND	0.011	0.0053	ug/l
1031-07-8	Endosulfan sulfate	ND	0.011	0.0055	ug/l
7421-93-4	Endrin aldehyde	ND	0.011	0.0054	ug/l
53494-70-5	Endrin ketone	ND	0.011	0.0053	ug/l
959-98-8	Endosulfan-I	ND	0.011	0.0052	ug/l
33213-65-9	Endosulfan-II	ND	0.011	0.0045	ug/i
76-44-8	Heptachlor	ND	0.011	0.0040	ug/l
1024-57-3	Heptachlor epoxide	ND	0.011	0.0069	ug/l
72-43-5	Methoxychlor	ND	0.021	0.0060	ug/l
8001-35-2	Toxaphene	ND	0.26	0.19	ug/l
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Limi	ts
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	107%		26-13	32%
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	96%		26-13	32%
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	79%		10-1	18%
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	67%		10-13	18%



ND = Not detected

MDL - Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

EB EW				100	地 湖 医 亚
		AIN OF C			D407 / 1
ACCUTE	ST - NJ	SG5 Accurest - De 235 Route 130, Dayton,	A11 A44.4		PAGE _ OF _
7 T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	IL.	12-329-0200 FAX: 73: www.acconcisi.co	2-329-3499/3460		Scot America (see 6
Coppany Name Pros	Hang.	A	A SECTION OF SECTION O		JC19855
Fried School Trysoc. Inc. (2	SMS Release	HSSESS#	ient	0 0 3	CONSTRUCTIONS
Ch 100 100 100 100		Gillio Internation			GW - Dreiting Water GW - Ground Water WW - Water
Purchase NY Productions	umacao PR	Company Name	li differenti iran Report tel		SW - Surinca Water SO - Sau
Terry Taylor		Street Address		00季度的	SL-Sketgy SED-Sedenare Ol-Qi
914-251-0400 "M" Cheer	Parchete Order 8	Cay	Song Zip	4 3 × 50	LG- Other Legals AR- Ag SDL - Other Sold
N. Ristera, T. Taylor, D. Linds frond	Maruner	-			WP - Water FB-Field States
343	Esterma	_	Photograph of property forms		EB-Epopment (Barel Ris-Range (Barel TB-Trup (Barel
Serve Field ID / Point of Collection Medical	Times	1-1-1-1 Laure 0-11	COME ON E CO	Perfici	
1 BPEB-11 2 RA5-6WD	5/5/16 1530	TTEA	7 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	14-34	LAB USE CHELY
NA3 - GWD	5/6/16 1400	TI GW	<u> </u>	XXXX	11111
		╁┼┼			1 E12
		 -	╼╂╂╉╉╂╂╏		1 1/28
			╶┼╃ ┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼	╂╌╁╾╂╌╂╌╂	
		 		┾╼┼╼┼╼┼╸┼	++-+-1
		┼╌├─├	┼┽┽┼┼┼┼┼		+
			╶╂╂╂╂┠ ╂ ╂ ╃╂╼	+	
			<u> </u>	╃┈╂┈╂╼╁╼╏╺╏	
Andrew Land (Durander spile)	ALE STORY SHOT	\$2(13) D	to Deliverable Information	 	
The same of the sa	(1800 Associated Prop. / Balley	Chromostal "A"	(Layel 1) MYARP Category A	A LA COMPANY	/ Special instructions
2 Day Billion	ASESSMENT 3000	PULLTY (Sared)	et) State Forms	And to Report:	1-methyl naphthalene had 8270D
M 1007 MEMFAC QUEOUS SAMPLOSHELLY	ERIFICATION_UM	Comments of	CONTRACT	With SVOC Met	wd 8270D
Employ & Rose Tile clots available Vis Landon		Complemental "A" in Florentin (nn Control Protessed Reporting Priy, Conveniessed "B" o Filmulis + GC Subbinary	ì	
5/6/16 150	THE RESERVE THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN	NJ Redsced = Results + Co reled below each time s	Supplies change prospession, including of	Sample inventory is verified	Upon recaid in the Laboratory
10 15 00 15	FEDEX		i Foderp	3/7,1000	St the St an
Binding-Report by: Date Time.	3 Rendered by		Armonished By:	Date Plane Section	- U
SAME POR CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE PART	15		628	Protocol atom applicates	On his Cooker Longs

JC19855: Chain of Custody

Page 1 of 2

EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

SDG No:

JC19855

Laboratory:

Accutest, New Jersey

Analysis:

SW846-8270D

Number of Samples:

rentest' lacas let:

Location:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area

Humacao, PR

SUMMARY:

Two (2) samples were analyzed for the ABN TCL list following method SW846-8270D; Naphthalene and 1,4-Dioxane were also analyzed by SW846-8270D using the selective ion monitoring (SIM) technique. The sample results were assessed according to USEPA data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence: EPA Hazardous Waste Support Section, SOP HW-35A, July 2015 –Revision 0. Semivolatile Data Validation. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Critical issues:

None

Major:

None

Minor:

None

Critical findings:

None

Major findings:

None

Minor findings:

1. DMCs meet the required criteria except for the cases described in this document. Non-deuterated surrogates added to the samples were within laboratory recovery limits unless the cases described in this document. Surrogates not recovered in sample JC19855 due to dilution. No action taken, surrogates recovered within laboratory control limits in the undiluted sample.

2. No MS/MSD results included in data package. Blank spike/blank spike duplicate used to assess accuracy. % recovery and RPD within laboratory control limits.

COMMENTS:

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Reviewers Name:

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Signature:

Date:

May 30, 2016

SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample ID: JC19855-1

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 5/5/2016

Matrix: AQ - Equipment Blank

METHOD: 8270D

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
2-Chlorophenol	5.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	5.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dichlorophenol	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dimethylphenol	5.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrophenol	10	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	5.0	ug/l	1	•	U	Yes
2-Methylphenol	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
3&4-Methylphenol	2.0	ug/l	1	- 1	U	Yes
2-Nitrophenol	5.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitrophenol	10	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pentachlorophenol	5.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Phenol	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	5.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	5.0	ug/l	1	*	U	Yes
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	5.0	ug/l	1		U	Yes
Acenaphthene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acetophenone	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Anthracene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Atrazine	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzaldehyde	5.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Butyl benzyl phthalate	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,1'-Biphenyl	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Chloronaphthalene	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloroaniline	5.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Carbazole	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Caprolactam	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Chrysene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis (2-Chloroethoxy) methane	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes

METHOD: 8270D

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lah Elag	Validation	Panartable
bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	2.0	ug/l	1	Lan i lag	U	Yes
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	2.0	ug/l	1	_	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	1.0	ug/l	1		U	Yes
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	1.0	ug/l	1	75	U	Yes
Dibenzofuran	5.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Di-n-butyl phthalate	2.0	ug/l	1	_	U	Yes
Di-n-octyl phthalate	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Diethyl phthalate	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dimethyl phthalate	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	1.0	ug/l	1	_	U	Yes
Hexachlorobenzene	1.0	ug/l	1		Ü	Yes
Hexachlorobutadiene	1.0	ug/l	1	_	Ü	Yes
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	10	ug/l	1	2 <u>2</u>	U	Yes
Hexachloroethane	2.0	ug/l	1		Ü	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	Ű	Yes
Isophorone	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1-Methylnaphthalene	1.0	ug/l	1		U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	1.0	ug/l	1	120	U	Yes
2-Nitroaniline	5.0	ug/l	1	-	UJ	Yes
3-Nitroaniline	5.0	ug/l	1	_	U	Yes
4-Nitroaniline	5.0	ug/l	1	_	U	Yes
Nitrobenzene	2.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	2.0	ug/l	1	-	UJ	Yes
Nitrosodiphenylamine	5.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Phenanthrene	1.0	ug/l	1	_!	U	Yes
Pyrene	1.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	2.0	ug/l	1	-	UJ	Yes
METHOD:	8270D (SII	\4\				
Naphthalene	0.10	ug/l	1	6.5	U	Ves
1,4-Dioxane	0.10	ug/i ug/l	1			Yes
I, I DIOXAIIC	0.10	ug/I	1	-	U	Yes

METHOD: 8270D

Analyte Name Result Units Dilution Factor Lab Flag Validation Reportable

Sample ID: JC19855-2

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 5/6/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8270D

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
2-Chlorophenol	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dichlorophenol	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dimethylphenol	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrophenol	11	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylphenol	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
3&4-Methylphenol	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitrophenol	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitrophenol	11	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pentachlorophenol	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Phenol	2.1	ug/l	1	~	U	Yes
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	5.3	ug/i	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	1.1	ug/l	1	_	U	Yes
Acetophenone	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Anthracene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Atrazîne	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzaldehyde	0.84	ug/l	1	J	UJ	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	1.1	ug/i	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Butyl benzyl phthalate	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,1'-Biphenyl	1.1	ug/l	1	-	Ų	Yes
2-Chloronaphthalene	2.1	ug/l	1	•	U	Yes
4-Chloroaniline	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Carbazole	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Caprolactam	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Chrysene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes

METHOD: 8270D

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lah Flaσ	Validation	Renortable
bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	2.1	ug/l	1	_	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	1.1	ug/l	1	_	Ü	Yes
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	1.1	ug/l	1	_	U	Yes
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	2.1	ug/l	1	_	Ü	Yes
1,4-Dioxane	3190	ug/l	100	_	-	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	1.1	ug/l	1	_	U	Yes
Dibenzofuran	5.3	ug/l	1	44	U	Yes
Di-n-butyl phthalate	2.1	ug/l	1	•	U	Yes
Di-n-octyl phthalate	2.1	ug/l	1	_	U	Yes
Diethyl phthalate	2.1	ug/l	1	_	U	Yes
Dimethyl phthalate	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobenzene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobutadiene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	11	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachloroethane	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Isophorone	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1-Methylnaphthalene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitroaniline	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
3-Nitroaniline	5.3	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitroaniline	5.3	ug/l	1	**	U	Yes
Nitrobenzene	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Nitrosodiphenylamine	5.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Phenanthrene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pyrene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	2.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
METHOD:	9270D /EU	N.4.)				
Naphthalene	0.11	ug/l	1	_	U	Yes
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0.11	ug/ i	1	-	U	162

	Project Number:_JC19855
	Date:May_05-06,_2016
	Shipping Date:_May_06,_2016
	EPA Region: 2
REVIEW OF SEMIVOLATILE OR	GANIC PACKAGE
The following guidelines for evaluating volatile required validation actions. This document will assigned judgment to make more informed decision and in users. The sample results were assessed according documents in the following order of precedence Section, SOP HW-35A, July 2015—Revision 0. Seminary and data validation actions listed on the data reviguidance document, unless otherwise noted.	sist the reviewer in using professional better serving the needs of the data go to USEPA data validation guidance se: EPA Hazardous Waste Support platile Data Validation. The QC criteria
The hardcopied (laboratory name) _Accutest reviewed and the quality control and performance data included:	
Lab. Project/SDG No.:JC19855 No. of Samples:2_Full_scan/2_SIM	Sample matrix:Groundwater
Trip blank No.:	
Field blank No.:	
Equipment blank No.:JC19855-1	
Field duplicate No.:	
X Data Completeness	X Laboratory Control Spikes
X Holding Times	X Field Duplicates
X GC/MS TuningX Internal Standard Performance	X Calibrations
X Blanks	X Compound Identifications
X Surrogate Recoveries	X Compound QuantitationX Quantitation Limits
X Surrogate NectoveriesX Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	A Quantitation Limits
Overall Comments:_ABN_TCL_list_by_method_SW846- _analyzed_by_method_SW846-8270D_(SIM)	8270D;_Naphthalene_and_1,4-Dioxane_
Definition of Qualifiers:	
J- Estimated results	
U- Compound not detected	
R- Rejected data	
UJ- Estimated nondetect	
Reviewer:Rafuel Defaut	
Date:May_30,_2016	

DATA COMPLETENESS

MISSING INFORMATION	DATE LAB. CONTACTED	DATE RECEIVED
4		
A		
		_
	-	
		-
		- Maria Nazara
		<u> </u>
2000	300000000	
48 = 2		

All criteria were metX					
Criteria were not met					
and/or see below					

HOLDING TIMES

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

SAMPLE ID	DATE	DATE	рΗ	ACTION			
	SAMPLED	EXTRACTED/ANALYZED					
All samples extracted	All samples extracted and analyzed within method recommended holding time. Sample preservation was acceptable.						

C	00	ler temp	erature (Criteria: 4	4 + 2 °C	`) :	2.5°C	

Actions

Results will be qualified based on the criteria of the following Table:

Table 1. Holding Time Actions for Semivolatile Analyses

Table 1. Holding Time Actions for Semivolatile Analyses					
Matrix	Preserved	Criteria	Detected Associated Compounds	Non-Detected Associated Compounds	
	No	≤7 days (for extraction) ≤40 days (for analysis)		onal judgment	
	No	> 7 days (for extraction) > 40 days (for analysis)	J	Use professional judgment	
Aqueous	Yes	≤ 7 days (for extraction) ≤ 40 days (for analysis)	No qua	lification	
	Yes	> 7 days (for extraction) > 40 days (for analysis)	1	נט	
	Yes/No	Grossly Exceeded	J	UJ or R	
:	No	≤ 14 days (for extraction) ≤ 40 days (for analysis)	Use professi	onal judgment	
Non Among	No	> 14 days (for extraction) > 40 days (for analysis)	J	y Use professional judgment	
Non-Aqueous	Yes	≤ 14 days (for extraction) ≤ 40 days (for analysis)	No qua	lification	
	Yes	> 14 days (for extraction) > 40 days (for analysis)	J	n)	
	Yes/No	Grossly Exceeded	J	UJ or R	

All criteria were metX Criteria were not met see below

GC/MS TUNING

The assessment of the tuning results is to determine if the sample instrumentation is within the standard tuning QC limits

- _X__ The DFTPP performance results were reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria.
- _X__ DFTPP tuning was performed for every 12 hours of sample analysis.

If no, use professional judgment to determine whether the associated data should be accepted, qualified or rejected.

Notes: These requirements do not apply when samples are analyzed by the Selected Ion Monitoring (SIM) technique.

All mass spectrometer conditions must be identical to those used during the sample analysis. Background subtraction actions resulting in spectral distortion are unacceptable

Notes: No data should be qualified based of DFTPP failure.

The requirement to analyze the instrument performance check solution is optional when analysis of PAHs/pentachlorophenol is to be performed by the SIM technique.

List	the	sar	samples	
_				

Actions:

- 1. If sample are analyzed without a preceding valid instrument performance check or are analyzed 12 hours after the Instrument Performance Check, qualify all data in those samples as unusable (R).
- 2. If ion abundance criteria are not met, use professional judgment to determine to what extent the data may be utilized.
- 3. State in the Data Review Narrative, decisions to use analytical data associated with DFTPP instrument performance checks not meeting the contract requirements.
- 4. Use professional judgment to determine if associated data should be qualified based on the spectrum of the mass calibration compounds.

All criteria were met	_X
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

INITIAL CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration	n:04/14/2016_(SIM)
Instrument ID numbers:	GCMS4M
Matrix/Level:	Aqueous/low
Date of initial calibration Instrument ID numbers:	

ID#		RFs, %RSD, %D, r		SAMPLES AFFECTED
Initial and in	itial calib		ts the method and guidance vanance criteria.	lidation document

Note: GCMS6P instrument used in the scan mode. Calibrations were within guidance document criteria. Used for the analysis of QC sample only.

Actions:

Qualify the initial calibration analytes listed in Table 2 using the following criteria:

Table 3. Initial Calibration Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Criteria		Action
Criterta	Detect	Non-detect
Initial Calibration not performed at specified frequency and sequence	Use professional judgment R	Use professional judgment R
Initial Calibration not performed at the specified concentrations	j	UJ
RRF < Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	Use professional judgment J+ or R	R
RRF ≥ Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification
%RSD > Maximum %RSD in Table 2 for target analyte	J	Use professional judgment
%RSD ≤ Maximum %RSD in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification

Initial Calibration

Table 2. RRF, %RSD, and %D Acceptance Criteria in Initial Calibration and CCV for Semivolatile Analysis

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D ¹	Opening Maximum %D ^t
1,4-Dioxane	0.010	40.0	±40.0	±50.0
Benzaldehyde	0.100	40.0	± 40.0	±50.0
Phenol	0.080	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	0.100	20.0	± 20,0	±25.0
2-Chlorophenol	0.200	20.0	£20.0	±25.0
2-Methylphenol	0.010	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
3-Methylphenol	0.010	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
2,2'-Oxybis-(1-chloropropane)	0.010	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Acetophenone	0.060	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
4-Methylphenol	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	0.080	20.0	±25.0	± 25.0
Hexachloroethane	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Nitrobenzene	0.090	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Isophorone	0.100	20.0	±20.0	± 25.0
2-Nitrophenol	0.060	20,0	±20.0	±25.0
2,4-Dimethylphenol	0.050	20.0	±25.0	±50.0
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	0.080	20,0	±20.0	±25.0
2,4-Dichlorophenol	0.060	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Naphthalene	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
4-Chloroaniline	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	±50.0
Hexachlorobutadiene	0.040	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Caprolactam	0.010	40.0	± 30.0	± 50.0
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	0.040	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
lexachlorocyclopentadiene	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	0.090	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
I, l'-Biphenyl	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D ¹	Opening Maximum %D ¹
2-Chloronaphthalene	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
2-Nitroaniline	0.060	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
Dimethylphthalate	0.300	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	0.080	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Acenaphthylene	0.400	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
3-Nitroaniline	0.010	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Acenaphthene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	± 25.0
2,4-Dinitrophenol	0.010	40.0	±50.0	± 50.0
4-Nitrophenol	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Dibenzofuran	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	0.070	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Diethylphthalate	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Fluorene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
4-Nitroaniline	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	±50.0
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	0.010	40.0	±30.0	± 50.0
4-Bromophenyl-phenyl ether	0.070	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Hexachlorobenzene	0.050	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Atrazine	0.010	40.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Pentachlorophenol	010.0	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Phenanthrene	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Anthracene	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Carbazole	0.050	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Di-n-butylphthalate	0.500	20.0	±20.0	± 25.0
Fluoranthene	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Pyrene	0.400	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Butylbenzylphthalate	0.100	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D ¹	Opening Maximum %D¹
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Chrysene	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	± 50.0
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	0.200	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Di-n-octylphthalate	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.010	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.010	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	± 50.0
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.010	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.010	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.010	20.0	±30.0	± 50.0
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	0.040	20.0	±20.0	± 50.0
Naphthalene	0.600	20.0	± 25.0	±25.0
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.300	20.0	±20.0	± 25.0
Acenaphthylene	0.900	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Acenaphthene	0.500	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Fluorene	0.700	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Phenanthrene	0.300	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Anthracene	0.400	20.0	±25,0	± 50.0
Fluoranthene	0.400	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Pyrene	0.500	20.0	±30.0	± 50.0
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.400	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Chyrsene	0.400	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.100	20.0	±30.0	± 50.0
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.100	20.0	± 30.0	± 50.0
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.100	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.100	20.0	±40.0	± 50.0
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.010	25.0	±40.0	± 50.0
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.020	25.0	±40.0	± 50.0

Pentachlorophenol	0.010	40.0	± 50.0	± 50.0	
Deuterated Monitoring Compounds					

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D¹	Closing Maximum %D
I,4-Dioxane-d ₈	0.010	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Phenol-d ₅	0.010	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
Bis-(2-chloroethyl)ether-d ₈	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2-Chlorophenol-d4	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
4-Methylphenol-d ₈	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
4-Chloroaniline-d4	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Nitrobenzene-d ₅	0.050	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
2-Nitrophenol-d ₄	0.050	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
2,4-Dichlorophenol-d3	0.060	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Dimethylphthalate-d ₆	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Acenaphthylene-d ₈	0.400	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
4-Nitrophenol-d ₄	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Fluorene-d ₁₀	0,100	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol-d2	0.010	40.0	±30.0	± 50.0
Anthracene-d ₁₀	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Pyrene-d ₁₀	0,300	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Benzo(a)pyrene-d ₁₂	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	± 50.0
Fluoranthene-d ₁₀ (SIM)	0.400	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
2-Methylnaphthalene-d ₁₀ (SIM)	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0

¹ If a closing CCV is acting as an opening CCV, all target analytes must meet the requirements for an opening CCV.

Note: If analysis by SIM technique is requested for PAH/pentachlorophenols, calibration standards analyzed at 0.10, 0.20, 0.40, 0.80, and 1.0 ng/uL for each target compound of interest and the associated DMCs. Pentachlorophenol will require only a four point initial calibration at 0.20, 0.40, 0.80, and 1.0 ng/uL.

All criteria were met
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

CONTINUING CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration:04/27/16;_04/28/16_(Scan)	
Date of initial calibration verification (ICV):04/27-28/16;_04/28/16	_
Date of continuing calibration verification (CCV):05/09/16;_05/10/16	_
Date of closing CCV: 05/10/16	
Instrument ID numbers:GCMSP	_
Matrix/Level:Aqueous/low	
•	_
Date of initial calibration:04/14/16_(SIM)	
Date of initial calibration verification (ICV):04/14/16	
Date of continuing calibration verification (CCV):_05/09/16;_05/10/16	_
Date of closing CCV:	
Instrument ID numbers:GCMS4M	_
Matrix/Level:Aqueous/low	_

GCMSP 05/09/16	DATE	LAB FILE ID#	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, <u>%D</u> , r	COMPOUND	SAMPLES AFFECTED
-20.7 di-n-octylphthalate*	GCMSP				7111101110
-20.7 di-n-octylphthalate*	05/09/16	cc4604-25	-37.4	4-Nitrophenol*	JC19855-1: -2
05/10/16 cc3621-50 -35.7 4-Nitrophenol*			-20.7	di-n-octylphthalate*	
	05/10/16	cc3621-50	-35.7	4-Nitrophenol*	
			,		

Note: Initial and continuing calibration verifications meet the method and guidance document required performance.

No closing calibration verification included in data package for GCMS4M. No action taken, professional judgment.

* Analytes with % difference in the continue calibration verification outside the method performance criteria but within the validation guidelines criteria, +40 %. No action taken.

Actions:

Notes: Verify that the CCV is run at the required frequency (an opening and closing CCV must be run within 12-hour period).

All DMCs must meet the RRF values given in Table 2. No qualification of the data is necessary on DMCs RRF and %RSD/%D alone. Use professional judgment to evaluate DMCs and %RSD/%D data in conjunction with DMCs recoveries to determine the need for qualification of the data.

Qualify the initial calibration analytes listed in Table 2 using the following criteria in the CCVs:

Table 4. CCV Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Criteria for Opening CCV	Criteria for Closing CCV -	Action		
	Criteria for Clusting CCV	Detect	Non-detect	
CCV not performed at required frequency and sequence	CCV not performed at required frequency	Use professional judgment R	Use professional judgment R	
CCV not performed at specified concentration	CCV not performed at specified concentration	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment	
RRF < Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	RRF < Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	Use professional judgment J or R	R	
RRF ≥ Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	RRF ≥ Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification	
%D outside the Opening Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	%D outside the Closing Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	J	ſIJ	
%D within the inclusive Opening Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	%D within the inclusive Closing Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification	

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Sections 1 & 2)

The assessment of the blank analysis results is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination problems. The criteria for evaluation of blanks apply only to blanks associated with the samples, including trip, equipment, and laboratory blanks. If problems with any blanks exist, all data associated with the case must be carefully evaluated to determine whether or not there is an inherent variability in the data for the case, or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data.

List the contamination in the blanks below. High and low levels blanks must be treated separately.

Notes: The concentration of non-target compounds in all blanks must be less than or equal to 10 ug/L.

The concentration of target compounds in all blanks must be less than its CRQL listed in the method.

Samples taken from a drinking water tap do not have and associated field blank.

Laboratory blanks

DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ Matrix	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
_No_target_ana			anks.	
Field/Equipmen	t/Trip blank			
DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL! MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
_No_field/trip/ed	quipment_blank	s_analyzed_wi	th_this_data_package	
 				

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

Blank Actions

Qualify samples based on the criteria summarized in Table 5:

Table 5. Blank and TCLP/SPLP LEB Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Blank Type	Blank Result	Sample Result	Action
	Detect	Non-detect	No qualification
	< CRQL	< CRQL	Report at CRQL and qualify as non-detect (U)
}		≥ CRQL	Use professional judgment
	-	< CRQL	Report at CRQL and qualify as non-detect (U)
Method,	≥CRQL	≥ CRQL but < Blank Result	Report at sample results and qualify as non-detect (U) or as unusable (R)
TCLP/SPLP LEB, Field		≥ CRQL and ≥ Blank Result	Use professional judgment
	Grossly high	Detect	Report at sample results and qualify as unusable (R)
	TIC > 5.0 ug/L (water) or 0.0050 mg/L (TCLP leachate) or TIC > 170 ug/Kg (soil)	Detect	Use professional judgment

List samples qualified

CONTAMINATION SOURCE/LEVEL	COMPOUND	CONC/UNITS	AL/UNITS	SQL	AFFECTED SAMPLES
			! 		
		<u> </u>			

All criteria were mel
Criteria were not met
and/or see belowX

SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES - DEUTERATED MONITORING COMPOUNDS (DMCs)

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries – deuterated monitoring compounds. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment.

Notes: Recoveries for DMCs in samples and blanks must be within the limits specified in Table 6.

The recovery limits for any of the compounds listed in Table 6 may be expanded at any time during the period of performance if USEPA determines that the limits are too restrictive.

If a DMC is not added in the samples and blanks or the concentrations of DMCs in the samples and blank not the specified, use professional judgment in qualifying the data.

Table 7. DMC Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Criteria	Action		
Criteria	Detect	Non-detect	
%R < 10% (excluding DMCs with 10% as a lower acceptance limit)	J-	R	
10% ≤ %R (excluding DMCs with 10% as a lower acceptance limit) < Lower Acceptance Limit	J-	UJ	
Lower Acceptance limit \leq %R \leq Upper Acceptance Limit	No qualification	No qualification	
%R > Upper Acceptance Limit	J+	No qualification	

List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for DMCs (surrogate) recovery.

Matrix:___Groundwater_____

SAMPLE ID SURROGATE COMPOUND ACTION

__DMCs_meet_the_required_criteria_except_for_the_cases_described_below._Non-deuterated__surrogates_added_to_the_samples_were_within_laboratory_recovery_limits_unless_the_cases_described_in_this_document.______

Samples and QC shown here apply to the above method

Lab Sample ID	Lab File ID	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	S6	
JC19855-2	F156880.D	0* a	0* a	0* a	0* a	0* a	0* a	
Surrogate Compounds		Recove Limits	ery	Surroga Compo				Recovery Limits
S1 = 2-Fluorophenol S2 = Phenol-d5 S3 = 2,4,6-Tribromophenol		14-88% 10-110% 39-149%		S4 = Nitrobenzene-d5 S5 = 2-Fluorobiphenyl S6 = Terphenyl-d14				32-128% 35-119% 10-126%

⁽a) Outside control limits due to dilution. No action taken.

Table 8. Semivolatile DMCs and the Associated Target Analytes

1,4-Dioxane-da (DMC-1)	Phenol-d ₅ (DMC-2)	Bis(2-Chloroethyl) ether-ds (DMC-3)	
1,4-Dioxane	Benzaldehyde	Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	
	Phenol	2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)	
_		Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	
2-Chlorophenol-d ₄ (DMC-4)	4-Methylphenol-da (DMC-5)	4-Chloroaniline-d ₄ (DMC-6)	
2-Chlorophenol	2-Methylphenol	4-Chloroaniline	
	3-Methylphenol	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	
	4-Methylphenol	Dichlorobenzidine	
	2,4-Dimethylphenol		
Nitrobenzene-d ₅ (DMC-7)	2-Nitrophenol-d4(DMC-8)	2,4-Dichlorophenol-d3(DMC-9)	
Acetophenone	Isophorone	2,4-Dichlorophenol	
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	2-Nitrophenol	Hexachlorobutadiene	
Hexachloroethane		Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	
Nitrobenzene	1	4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	Ì	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	
2,4-Dinitrotoluene		2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine		1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	
		*Pentachlorophenol	
	Ì	2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	
Dimethylphthalate-d ₆ (DMC-10)	Acenaphthylene-da (DMC-11)	4-Nitrophenol-d4 (DMC-12)	
Caprolactam	*Naphthalene	2-Nitroaniline	
1,1'-Biphenyl	*2-Methylnaphthalene	3-Nitroaniline	
Dimethylphthalate	2-Chloronaphthalene	2,4-Dinitrophenol	
Diethylphthalate	*Acenaphthylene	4-Nitrophenol	
Di-n-butylphthalate	*Acenaphthene	4-Nitroaniline	
Butylbenzylphthalate	1		
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate			
Di-n-octylphthalate	1		

Fluorene-d ₁₀ (DMC-13)	4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol-d ₂ (DMC-14)	Anthracene-d ₁₀ (DMC-15)
Dibenzofuran *Fluorene	4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	Hexachlorobenzene
4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether		*Phenanthrene
4-Bromophenyl-phenylether Carbazole		*Anthracene
Pyrene-d ₁₀ (DMC-16)	Benzo(a)pyrene-d ₁₂ (DMC-17)	
*Fluoranthene	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	<u> </u>
*Pyrene	*Benzo(b)fluoranthene	
*Benzo(a)anthracene	*Benzo(k)fluoranthene	
*Chrysene	*Benzo(a)pyrene	
	*Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	
	*Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	
	*Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	

^{*}Included in optional Target Analyte List (TAL) of PAHs and PCP only.

Table 9. Semivolatile SIM DMCs and the Associated Target Analytes

Fluoranthene-d10 (DMC-1)	2-Methylnaphthalene-d10 (DMC-2)
Fluoranthene	Naphthalene
Pyrene	2-Methylnaphthalene
Benzo(a)anthracene	Acenaphthylene
Chrysene	Acenaphthene
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	Fluorene
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Pentachlorophenol
Benzo(a)pyrene	Phenanthrene
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	Anthracene
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

VII. A MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples. If any % R in the MS or MSD falls outside the designated range, the reviewer should determine if there are matrix effects, i.e. LCS data are within the QC limits but MS/MSD data are outside QC limit.

1. MS/MSD Recoveries and Precision Criteria

The laboratory should use one MS and a duplicate analysis of an unspiked field sample if target analytes are expected in the sample. If target analytes are not expected, MS/MSD should be analyzed.

NOTES:

Data for MS and MSDs will not be present unless requested by the

Region.

Notify the Contract Laboratory COR if a field or trip blank was used for the

MS and MSD.

For a Matrix Spike that does not meet criteria, apply the action to only the field sample used to prepare the Matrix Spike sample. If it is clearly stated in the data validation materials that the samples were taken through incremental sampling or some other method guaranteeing the homogeneity of the sample group, then the entire sample group may be qualified.

List the %Rs, RPD of the compounds which do not meet the criteria.

Sample ID:			_	Matrix/Level: Matrix/Level:			
MS OR MSD	COMPOUND	% R	RPD	QC LIMITS	ACTION		

Note: No MS/MSD results included in data package. Blank spike/blank spike duplicate used to assess accuracy. % recovery and RPD within laboratory control limits.

- * QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.
- * If QC limits are not available, use limits of 70 130 %.

Actions:

QUALITY	%R < LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J
Nondetects results	R	Accept

MS/MSD criteria apply only to the unspiked sample, its dilutions, and the associated MS/MSD samples:

If the % R for the affected compounds were < LL (or 70 %), qualify positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If the % R for the affected compounds were > UL (or 130 %), only qualify positive results (J).

If 25 % or more of all MS/MSD %R were < LL (or 70 %) or if two or more MS/MSD %Rs were < 10%, qualify all positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

All criteria were met_	_X	
Criteria were not met		
and/or see below	200	

INTERNAL STANDARD PERFORMANCE

The assessment of the internal standard (IS) parameter is used to assist the data reviewer in determining the condition of the analytical instrumentation.

List the internal standard area of samples which do not meet the criteria.

DATE SAMPLE ID IS OUT IS AREA ACCEPTABLE ACTION RANGE

Internal standard area counts meet the required criteria.

Action:

- If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is greater than 200.0% of the area for the associated standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration) (see Table 10 below):
 - a. Qualify detects for compounds quantitated using that internal standard as estimated low (J-).
 - b. Do not qualify non-detected associated compounds.
- 2. If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is less than 20.0% of the area for the associated standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration):
 - a. Qualify detects for compounds quantitated using that internal standard as estimated high (J+).
 - b. Qualify non-detected associated compounds as unusable (R).
- If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is greater than or equal to 50.0%, and less than or equal to 200% of the area for the associated standard opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- 4. If an internal standard RT varies by more than 10.0 seconds: Examine the chromatographic profile for that sample to determine if any false positives or negatives exist. For shifts of a large magnitude, the reviewer may consider partial or total rejection of the data for that sample fraction. Detects should not need to be qualified as unusable (R) if the mass spectral criteria are met.
- If an internal standard RT varies by less than or equal to 10.0 seconds, no qualification of the data is necessary.

Note: Inform the Contract Laboratory Program Project Officer (CLP PO) if the internal standard performance criteria are grossly exceeded. Note in the Data Review Narrative potential effects on the data resulting from unacceptable internal standard performance.

State in the Data Review Narrative if the required internal standard compounds are not added to a sample or blank or if the required internal standard compound is not analyzed at the specified concentration.

Actions:

Table 10. Internal Standard Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Criteria	Action			
Cinena	Detect	Non-detect		
Area response < 20% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL	J±	R		
20% ≤ Area response < 50% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL	J+	ບາ		
50% ≤ Area response ≤ 200% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL	No qualification	No qualification		
Area response > 200% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL	J-	No qualification		
RT shift between sample/blank and opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL > 10.0 seconds	R	R		
RT shift between sample/blank and opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL < 10.0 seconds	No qualification	No qualification		

		All criteria were metX Criteria were not met and/or see below
TARGET CO	MPOUND IDENTIFICATION	
Criteria:		
Is the Relative standard RR initial calibration	ve Retention Times (RRTs) of reported comp T [opening Continuing Calibration Verification ion].	ounds within ±0.06 RRT units of the (CCV) or mid-point standard from the Yes? or No?
List compoun	ds not meeting the criteria described above:	
Sample ID	Compounds	Actions
spectrum fror calibration)] n a. b.	n the associated calibration standard (opening nust match according to the following criteria: All ions present in the standard mass spect 10% must be present in the sample spectrul The relative intensities of these ions mu	rum at a relative intensity greater than m. ust agree within ±20% between the
	standard and sample spectra (e.g., for an standard spectrum, the corresponding sam 30-70%).	ion with an abundance of 50% in the
C.	lons present at greater than 10% in the same the standard spectrum, must be evaluated spectral interpretation.	
List compoun	ds not meeting the criteria described above:	
Sample ID	Compounds	Actions
	ompounds_meet_the_required_criteria	
-		

Action:

- 1. The application of qualitative criteria for GC/MS analysis of target compounds requires professional judgment. It is up to the reviewer's discretion to obtain additional information from the laboratory. If it is determined that incorrect identifications were made, qualify all such data as unusable (R).
- Use professional judgment to qualify the data if it is determined that cross-contamination has occurred.
- 3. Note in the Data Review Narrative any changes made to the reported compounds or concerns regarding target compound identifications. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, the necessity for numerous or significant changes.

TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS (TICS)

NOTE: Tentatively identified compounds should only be evaluated when requested by a party from outside of the Hazardous Waste Support Section (HWSS).

List TICs

Sample ID	Compound	Sample ID	Compound

Action:

- 1. Qualify all TIC results for which there is presumptive evidence of a match (e.g. greater than or equal to 85% match) as tentatively identified (NJ), with approximated concentrations. TICs labeled "unknown" are qualified as estimated (J).
- General actions related to the review of TIC results are as follows:
 - a. If it is determined that a tentative identification of a non-target compound is unacceptable, change the tentative identification to "unknown" or another appropriate identification, and qualify the result as estimated (J).
 - b. If all contractually-required peaks were not library searched and quantitated, the Region's designated representative may request these data from the laboratory.
- In deciding whether a library search result for a TIC represents a reasonable identification, use professional judgment. If there is more than one possible match, report the result as "either compound X or compound Y". If there is a lack of isomer specificity, change the TIC result to a nonspecific isomer result (e.g., 1,3,5-trimethyl benzene to trimethyl benzene isomer) or to a compound class (e.g., 2-methyl, 3-ethyl benzene to a substituted aromatic compound).
- 4. The reviewer may elect to report all similar compounds as a total (e.g., all alkanes may be summarized and reported as total hydrocarbons).

- 5. Target compounds from other fractions and suspected laboratory contaminants should be marked as "non-reportable".
- 6. Other Case factors may influence TIC judgments. If a sample TIC match is poor, but other samples have a TIC with a valid library match, similar RRT, and the same ions, infer identification information from the other sample TIC results.
- 7. Note in the Data Review Narrative any changes made to the reported data or any concerns regarding TIC identifications.
- 8. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, failure to properly evaluate and report TICs

All criteria were met _	_X
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

SAMPLE QUANTITATION AND REPORTED CONTRACT REQUIRED QUANTITATION LIMITS (CRQLS)

Action:

- 1. When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lower CRQL are used unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of higher CRQLs from the diluted sample. Samples reported with an "E" qualifier should be reported from the diluted sample.
- 2. If any discrepancies are found, the Region's designated representative may contact the laboratory to obtain additional information that could resolve any differences. If a discrepancy remains unresolved, the reviewer must use professional judgment to decide which value is the most accurate. Under these circumstances, the reviewer may determine that qualification of data is warranted. Note in the Data Review Narrative a description of the reasons for data qualification and the qualification that is applied to the data.
- 3. For non-aqueous samples, if the solids is less than 10.0%, use professional judgment for both detects and non-detects. If the percent solid for a soil sample is greater than or equal to 10.0% and less than 30.0%, use professional judgment to qualify detects and non-detects. If the percent solid for a soil sample is greater than or equal to 30.0%, detects and non-detects should not be qualified (see Table 11).
- 4. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, numerous or significant failures to accurately quantify the target compounds or to properly evaluate and adjust CRQLs.
- 5. Results between MDL and CRQL should be qualified as estimated "J".
- 6. Results < MDL should be reported at the CRQL and qualified "U". MDLs themselves should not be reported.

Table 11. Percent Solids Actions for Semivolatile Analysis for Non-Aqueous Samples

Criteria -	Action				
	Detects	Non-detects			
%Solids < 10.0%	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment			
$10.0\% \le \%$ Solids $\le 30.0\%$	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment			
%Solids > 30.0%	No qualification	No qualification			

SAMPLE QUANTITATION

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

Sample ID:_	_ JC198	55-2 Analyte:1,4-Dioxane	RF:_0.584_
[]	=	(1249827)(40)/(24261)(0.584)	
	=	3528 ppm Ok	

QUANTITATION LIMITS

A. Dilution performed

SAMPLE ID	DILUTION FACTOR	REASON FOR DILUTION
JC19855-2	100 X	1,4-Dioxane over calibration range
	4.50	
	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	
-		
1000		

			Crite	riteria were metN/A na were not met or see below		
PRECIS	ION					
	-	M	atrix:	·		
oth field which eater va te samp ould be f large (and lab precision only laboratory priance than water les. reviewed for project (> 50 %) is	therefore, the results performance. It is als matrices due to diffice ect-specific informatio observed, confirm ide	may have o expecte ulties asso n. entification	e more variability than ed that soil duplicate ociated with collecting		
SQL ug/L	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD	ACTION		
No field/laboratory duplicate analyzed as part of this data package. MS/MSD % and blank spike/blank spike duplicate recoveries RPD used to assess precision; RPD within the required criteria < 50 % for detected target analytes.						
	ples ma oth field which eater va te samp ould be large I oth sam SQL ug/L	oth field and lab precision which only laboratory pater variance than water te samples. ould be reviewed for project large RPD (> 50 %) is oth samples and duplicate SQL SAMPLE ug/L CONC.	ples may be taken and analyzed as an indicate of the field and lab precision; therefore, the results which only laboratory performance. It is also eater variance than water matrices due to difficult te samples. Ould be reviewed for project-specific information and the samples and duplicate are <5 SQL, the RPE SQL SAMPLE DUPLICATE CONC. SQL SAMPLE DUPLICATE CONC.	PRECISION		

				All criteria were metX Criteria were not met and/or see below
OTHER	ISSUES			
A.	System Performance			
List sam	nples qualified based o	n the degradation of	system performance o	during simple analysis:
Sample		Comments		Actions
Action:				
degrade	ofessional judgment to ed during sample analy f degradation of system	yses. Inform the Con	tract Laboratory Prog	system performance has ram COR any action as a he data.
В.	Overall Assessment of	Data		
List sam	nples qualified based o	n other issues:		
•	ID			Actions
_No_oth		ed_the_need_to_qua	lify_the_dataResult	s_are_valid_and_can_be

Action:

- 1. Use professional judgment to determine if there is any need to qualify data which were not qualified based on the Quality Control (QC) criteria previously discussed.
- Write a brief narrative to give the user an indication of the analytical limitations of the data. Inform the Contract Laboratory COR the action, any inconsistency of the data with the Sample Delivery Group (SDG) Narrative. If sufficient information on the intended use and required quality of the data is available, the reviewer should include their assessment of the usability of the data within the given context. This may be used as part of a formal Data Quality Assessment (DQA).
- Sometimes, due to dilutions, re-analysis or SIM/Scan runs are being performed, there will be multiple results for a single analyte from a single sample. The following criteria and professional judgment are used to determine which result should be reported:
 - The analysis with the lower CRQL
 - The analysis with the better QC results
 - The analysis with the higher results

EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

SDG No:

JC19855

Laboratory:

Accutest, New Jersey

Analysis:

SW846-8081B

Number of Samples:

2

Location:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area

Humacao, PR

SUMMARY:

Two (2) samples were analyzed for selected pesticides following method SW846-8081B. The sample results were assessed according to USEPA data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence *Hazardous Waste Support Section SOP No. HW-36A, Revision O, June, 2015. SOM02.2. Pesticide Data Validation.* The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Critical issues:

None

Major issues:

None

Minor issues:

None

Critical findings:

None

Major findings:

None

Minor findings:

1. No MS/MSD analyzed with this data package. Blank spike/blank spike duplicate

used to assess accuracy. % recoveries and RPD within laboratory control limits.

2. Surrogate recoveries within laboratory control limits in project samples. Outside control limit in QC sample (BSD). No action taken

COMMENTS:

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Reviewers Name:

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Signature:

Date:

May 30, 2016

SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample ID: JC19855-1

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 5-May-16

Matrix: AQ - Equipment Blank

METHOD: 8081B

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Aldrin	0.011	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
alpha-BHC	0.011	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
beta-BHC	0.011	ug/L	1	•	U	Yes
delta-BHC	0.011	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	0.011	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
alpha-Chlordane	0.011	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
gamma-Chlordane	0.011	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Dieldrin	0.011	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDD	0.011	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDE	0.011	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDT	0.011	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin	0.011	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan sulfate	0.011	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin aldehyde	0.011	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin ketone	0.011	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan-l	0.011	ug/L	1	7.	บ	Yes
Endosulfan-II	0.011	ug/L	1	2	U	Yes
Heptachlor	0.011	ug/L	1	•	U	Yes
Heptachlor epoxide	0.011	ug/L	1	_	υ	Yes
Methoxychlor	0.021	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Toxaphene	0.26	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: JC19855-2

,0, .

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 6-May-16 Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8081B

METHOD.	OCOTA					
Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Aldrin	0.011	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
alpha-BHC	0.011	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
beta-BHC	0.011	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
delta-BHC	0.011	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	0.011	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
alpha-Chlordane	0.011	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
gamma-Chlordane	0.011	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dieldrin	0.011	ug/l	1	5 T	U	Yes
4,4'-DDD	0.011	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDE	0.011	ug/l	1		U	Yes
4,4'-DDT	0.011	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin	0.011	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan sulfate	0.011	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin aldehyde	0.011	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin ketone	0.011	ug/l	1	÷	U	Yes
Endosulfan-I	0.011	ug/i	1		U	Yes
Endosulfan-II	0.011	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Heptachlor	0.011	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Heptachlor epoxide	0.011	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Methoxychlor	0.021	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Toxaphene	0.26	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes

Date:__May_30,_2016_

	Project/Case Number:JC19855 Sampling Date:May_05-06,_2016 Shipping Date:May_06,_2016 EPA Region No.:2
REVIEW OF PESTICIDE ORGA	ANIC PACKAGE
The following guidelines for evaluating volatile required validation actions. This document will assign judgment to make more informed decision and in users. The sample results were assessed according documents in the following order of precedence Hallw-36A, Revision 0, June, 2015. SOM02.2. Pesticided data validation actions listed on the data review guidance document, unless otherwise noted.	sist the reviewer in using professional better serving the needs of the data of the USEPA data validation guidance szardous Waste Support Section SOP No. e Data Validation. The QC criteria and
The hardcopied (laboratory name) _Accutest	data package received has been arized. The data review for VOCs included:
Lab. Project/SDG No.:JC19855 No. of Samples:2	Sample matrix:Groundwater
Trip blank No.: - Field blank No.: - Equipment blank No.: JC19855-1 Field duplicate No.: - Field spikes No.: - QC audit samples: -	
X Data CompletenessX Holding TimesN/A GC/MS TuningX_ Internal Standard PerformanceX BlanksX Surrogate RecoveriesX Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	X Laboratory Control SpikesX Field DuplicatesX CalibrationsX Compound IdentificationsX Compound QuantitationX Quantitation Limits
Overall Comments:TCL_pesticides_list_by_SW846-80 Definition of Qualifiers: J- Estimated results U- Compound not detected R- Rejected data UJ- Estimated nondetect Reviewer:	818

DATA COMPLETENESS

MISSING INFORMATION	DATE LAB. CONTACTED	DATE RECEIVED
-\		
		
N. C.		
		
		74 (1841 × 10.4
u.		
· · ·		
		7
3-		
		W

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

HOLDING TIMES

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

SAMPLE ID	DATE SAMPLED	DATE EXTRACTED/ANALYZED	ACTION
Samples properly pri	eserved.		

Preservatives:	_All_samples_extracted_and_analyzed_within_the_required_criteria	
	, – – , –	

Criteria

Aqueous samples - seven (7) days from sample collection for extraction; 40 days from sample collection for analysis.

Non-aqueous samples – fourteen (14) days from sample collection for extraction; 40 days from sample collection for analysis.

Cooler temperature (Criteria: 4 ± 2 °C): 2.5 °C - OK

Actions

Qualify aqueous sample results using preservation and technical holding time information as follows:

- a. If there is no evidence that the samples were properly preserved (T = 4° C \pm 2° C), and the samples were extracted or analyzed within the technical holding times, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ).
- b. If there is no evidence that the samples were properly preserved (T = 4° C \pm 2° C), and the samples were extracted or analyzed outside the technical holding times, qualify detects as estimated (UJ).
- c. If the samples were properly preserved, and were extracted and analyzed within the technical holding times, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- d. If the samples were properly preserved, and were extracted or analyzed outside the technical holding times, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ). Note in the Data Review Narrative that holding times were exceeded and the effect of exceeding the holding time on the resulting data.

- e. Use professional judgment to qualify samples whose temperature upon receipt at the laboratory is either below 2 degrees centigrade or above 6 degrees centigrade.
- f. If technical holding times are grossly exceeded, use professional judgment to qualify the data.

Qualify non-aqueous sample results using preservation and technical holding time information as follows:

- a. If there is no evidence that the samples were properly preserved (T = 4° C \pm 2° C), and the samples were extracted or analyzed within the technical holding time, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ).
- b. If there is no evidence that the samples were properly preserved ($T = 4^{\circ}C \pm 2^{\circ}C$), and the samples were extracted or analyzed outside the technical holding time, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ).
- c. If the samples were properly preserved, and were extracted and analyzed within the technical holding time, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- d. If the samples were properly preserved, and were extracted or analyzed outside the technical holding time, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ). Note in the Data Review Narrative that holding times were exceeded and the effect of exceeding the holding time on the resulting data.
- e. Use professional judgment to qualify samples whose temperature upon receipt at the laboratory is either below 2 degrees centigrade or above 6 degrees centigrade.
- f. If technical holding times are grossly exceeded, use professional judgment to qualify the data.

	All criteria were metX	
Criteria	were not met see below	

GAS CHROMATOGRAPH WITH ELECTRON CAPTURE DETECTOR (GC/ECD) INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK (SECTIONS 1 TO 5)

1. Resolution Check Mixture

Criteria

Is the resolution between two adjacent peaks in the Resolution Check Mixture C greater than or equal to 80.0% for all analytes for the primary column and greater than or equal to 50.0% for the confirmation column?

Yes? or No?

Is the resolution between two adjacent peaks in the Resolution Check Mixture (A and B) greater than or equal to 60.0%?

Yes? or No?

Note:

If resolution criteria are not met, the quantitative results may not be accurate due to inadequate resolution. Qualitative identifications may also be questionable if coelution exists.

Action

- a. Qualify detects for target compounds that were not adequately resolved as tentatively identified (NJ).
- b. Qualify non-detected compounds as unusable (R).

2. Performance Evaluation Mixture (PEM) Resolution Criteria

Criteria

Is PEM analysis performed at the required frequency (at the end of each pesticide initial calibration sequence and every 12 hours)?

Yes? or No?

Action

a. If PEM is not performed at the required frequency, qualify all associated sample and blank results as unusable (R).

Criteria

Is PEM % Resolution < 90%?

Yes? or No?

Action

- a. a. Qualify detects for target compounds that were not adequately resolved as tentatively identified (NJ).
- b. Qualify non-detected compounds as unusable (R).

	All cr	teri	a we	re m	et	_X
Criteria	were	nol	met	see	below	_

3. PEM 4,4'-DDT Breakdown

Criteria

Is the PEM 4,4'-DDT % Breakdown >20.0% and 4,4'-DDT is detected?

Yes? or No?

Action

a. Qualify detects for 4,4'-DDT; detects for 4,4'-DDD; and detects for 4,4'-DDE as estimated (J)

Criteria

is the PEM 4,4'-DDT % Breakdown >20.0% and 4,4'-DDT is not detected

Yes? or No?

Action

- a. Qualify non-detects for 4,4'- DDT as unusable (R)
- b. Qualify detects for 4,4'-DDD as tentatively identified (NJ)
- c. Qualify detects for 4,4'-DDE as tentatively identified (NJ)

4. PEM Endrin Breakdown

Criteria

Is the PEM Endrin % Breakdown >20.0% and Endrin is detected?

Yes? or No?

Action

a. Qualify detects for Endrin; detects for Endrin aldehyde; and detects for Endrin ketone as estimated (J)

Criteria

Is the PEM Endrin % Breakdown >20.0% and Endrin is not detected

Yes? or No?

Action

- a. Qualify non-detects for Endrin as unusable (R)
- b. Qualify detects for Endrin aldehyde as tentatively identified (NJ)
- c. Qualify detects for Endrin ketone as tentatively identified (NJ)

All criteria were metX	
Criteria were not met see below	

5. Mid-point Individual Standard Mixture Resolution -

Criteria

Is the resolution between two adjacent peaks in the Resolution Check Mixture C greater than or equal to 80.0% for all analytes for the primary column and greater than or equal to 50.0% for the confirmation column?

Yes? or No?

Is the resolution between two adjacent peaks in the Resolution Check Mixture (A and B) greater than or equal to 90.0%?

Yes? or No?

Note:

If resolution criteria are not met, the quantitative results may not be accurate due to inadequate resolution. Qualitative identifications may also be questionable if coelution exists.

Action

- a. Qualify detects for target compounds that were not adequately resolved as tentatively identified (NJ).
- b. Qualify non-detected compounds as unusable (R).

Criteria

Is mid-point individual standard mixture analysis performed at the required frequency (every 12 hours)?

Yes? or No?

Action

a. If the mid-point individual standard mixture analysis is not performed at the required frequency, qualify all associated sample and blank results as unusable (R).

All criteria were met _X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration:	05/02/16
Dates of initial calibration verific	ation:05/02/16
Dates of continuing calibration:	05/09/16
Dates of final calibration	05/09/16
Instrument ID numbers:	GC4G
Matrix/Level:	_Aqueous/low

DATE	LAB	FILE	CRITERIA OUT	COMPOUND	SAMPLES AFFECTED
	ID#		RFs, %RSD, %D, r		
Initial and initial calibration verification within the guidance document performance criteria.					
Continuing calibration % differences meet the performance criteria in at least one of the column.					
	Final	calibrati	on verification analyzed	and results included in	n data package.

Criteria

Are a five point calibration curve delivered with concentration levels as shown in Table 3 of SOP HW-36A, Revision 0, June, 2015?

Yes? or No?

Actions

If the standard concentrations listed in Table 3 are not used, use professional judgment to evaluate the effect on the data

Criteria

Are RT Windows calculated correctly?

Yes? or No?

Action

Recalculate the windows and use the corrected values for all evaluations.

Criteria

Are the Percent Relative Standard Deviation (%RSD) of the CFs for each of the single component target compounds less than or equal to 20.0%, except for alpha-BHC and delta-BHC?

Yes? or No?

Are the %RSD of the CFs for alpha-BHC and delta-BHC less than or equal to 25.0%. Yes? or No?

Is the %RSD of the CFs for each of the Toxaphene peaks must be < 30% when 5-point ICAL is performed?

Yes? or No?

Is the %RSD of the CFs for the two surrogates (tetrachloro-m-xylene and decachlorobiphenyl) less than or equal to 30.0%.

Yes? or No?

Action

- a. If the %RSD criteria are not met, qualify detects as estimated (J) and use professional judgment to qualify non-detected target compounds.
- b. If the %RSD criteria are within allowable limits, no qualification of the data is necessary

Continuing Calibration Checks

Criteria

Is the continuing calibration standard analyzed at the acceptable time intervals? Yes? or No?

Action

- a. If more than 14 hours has elapsed from the injection of the instrument blank that begins an analytical sequence (opening CCV) and the injection of either a PEM or mid-point concentration of the Individual Standard Mixtures (A and B) or (C), qualify all data as unusable (R).
- b. If more than 12 hours has elapsed from the injection of the instrument blank that begins an analytical sequence (opening CCV) and the injection of the last sample or blank that is part of the same analytical sequence, qualify all data as unusable (R).
- c. If more than 72 hours has elapsed from the injection of the sample with a Toxaphene detection and the Toxaphene Calibration Verification Standard (CS3), qualify all data as unusable (R).

Criteria

Is the Percent Difference (%D) within ±25.0% for the PEM sample?

Yes? or No?

Action

a. Qualify associated detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ).

Criteria

For the Calibration Verification Standard (CS3); is the Percent Difference (%D) within ±25.0%? Yes? or No?

Action

Qualify associated detects as estimated (UJ) and non-detects as estimated (UJ).

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

Criteria

Is the PEM 4,4'-DDT % Breakdown >20.0% and 4,4'-DDT is detected?

Yes? or No?

Action

- a. Qualify detects for 4,4'-DDT; detects for 4,4'-DDD; and detects for 4,4'-DDE as estimated (J)
- b. Non-detected associated compounds are not qualified

Criteria

is the PEM 4,4'-DDT % Breakdown >20.0% and 4,4'-DDT is not detected

Yes? or No?

Action

- a. Qualify non-detects for 4,4'- DDT as unusable (R)
- b. Qualify detects for 4,4'-DDD as tentatively identified (NJ)
- c. Qualify detects for 4,4'-DDE as tentatively identified (NJ)

Criteria

Is the PEM Endrin % Breakdown >20.0% and Endrin is detected?

Yes? or No?

Action

- a. Qualify detects for Endrin; detects for Endrin aldehyde; and detects for Endrin ketone as estimated (J)
- b. Non-detected associated compounds are not qualified

Criteria

Is the PEM Endrin % Breakdown >20.0% and Endrin is not detected

Yes? or No?

Action

- a. Qualify non-detects for Endrin as unusable (R)
- b. Qualify detects for Endrin aldehyde as tentatively identified (NJ)
- c. Qualify detects for Endrin ketone as tentatively identified (NJ)

A separate worksheet should be filled for each initial curve

All criteria were met _X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Sections 1 & 2)

The assessment of the blank analysis results is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination problems. The criteria for evaluation of blanks apply only to blanks associated with the samples, including trip, equipment, and laboratory blanks. If problems with any blanks exist, all data associated with the case must be carefully evaluated to determine whether or not there is an inherent variability in the data for the case, or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data.

List the contami	nation in the bla	anks below. Hig	h and low levels blanks	must be treated separately.
CRQL concentra	ationN	/A	·····	
Laboratory blank	ks			
DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
				nit_of_0.01_and_0.001_ug/L
DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL <i>i</i> MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
_data_package.				p_blanks_analyzed_with_this

All criteria were met _X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

Blank Actions

Action Levels (ALs) should be based upon the highest concentration of contaminant determined in any blank. Do not qualify any blank with another blank. The ALs for samples which have been diluted should be corrected for the sample dilution factor and/or % moisture, where applicable. No positive sample results should be reported unless the concentration of the compound in the samples exceeds the ALs:

The concentration of non-target compounds in all blanks must be less than or equal to 10 μ g/L. The concentration of each target compound found in the method or field blanks must be less than its CRQL listed in the method.

Data concerning the field blanks are not evaluated as part of the CCS process. If field blanks are present, the data reviewer should evaluate this data in a similar fashion as the method blanks.

Specific actions are as follows:

Blank Actions for Pesticide Analyses

Blank Type	Blank Result	Sample Result	Action for Samples
	Detects	Not detected	No qualification required
	< CRQL	< CRQL	Report CRQL value with a U
		≥CRQL	No qualification required
Method, Sulfur		< CRQL	Report CRQL value with a U
Cleanup,		≥ CRQL and ≤ blank	Report blank value for
Instrument, Field, TCLP/SPLP	> CRQL	concentration	sample concentration with a U
_		≥ CRQL and > blank	No qualification required
		concentration	
	= CRQL		Report CRQL value with a U
		> CRQL	No qualification required
	Gross contamination	Detects	Report blank value for
			sample concentration with a
			U

All criteria were met	X
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

CONTAMINATION SOURCE/LEVEL	COMPOUND	CONC/UNITS	AL/UNITS	SQL	AFFECTED SAMPLES

All criteria were met _X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment.

List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for surrogate recovery.

Matrix:_Aqueou	IS				
Lab Sample !D	Lab File ID	01.5	C4 L	00.5	00.6
ID	טו	S1 a	S1 b	S2 a	S2 b
JC19855-1	4G68047.D	110	114	44	42
JC19855-2	4G68048.D	107	96	79	67
OP93720-BS1	4G68043.D	94	94	115	99
OP93720-BSD	4G68044.D	100	96	127* c	112
OP93720-MB1	4G68042.D	88	81	138* c	114
Surrogate Compounds		Recov Limits	ery		
S1 = Tetrachloro-m-xylene S2 = Decachlorobiphenyl		26-132 10-118			
(a) Recovery fro	om GC cional #1				

- (a) Recovery from GC signal #1
- (b) Recovery from GC signal #2
- (c) Outside the QC limits.

Note: Surrogate recoveries within laboratory control limits in project samples. Outside control limit in QC sample (MSD). No action taken

Actions:

- a. For any surrogate recovery greater than 150%, qualify detected target compounds as biased high (J+).
- b. Do not qualify non-detected target compounds for surrogate recovery > 150 %.
- c. If both surrogate recoveries are greater than or equal to 30% and less than or equal to 150%, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- d. For any surrogate recovery greater than or equal to 10% and less than 30%, qualify detected target compounds as biased low (J-).
- e. For any surrogate recovery greater than or equal to 10% and less than 30%, qualify non-detected target compounds as approximated (UJ).

- f. If low surrogate recoveries are from sample dilution, professional judgment should be used to determine if the resulting data should be qualified. If sample dilution is not a factor:
 - i. Qualify detected target compounds as biased low (J-).
 - ii. Qualify non-detected target compounds as unusable (R).
- g. If surrogate RTs in PEMs, Individual Standard Mixtures, samples, and blanks are outside of the RT Windows, the reviewer must use professional judgment to qualify data.
- h. If surrogate RTs are within RT windows, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- i. If the two surrogates were not added to all samples, MS/MSDs, standards, LCSs, and blanks, use professional judgment in qualifying data as missing surrogate analyte may not directly apply to target analytes.

Summary Surrogate Actions for Pesticide Analyses

	Action*		
Criteria	Detected Target	Non-detected Target	
	Compounds	Compounds	
%R > 150%	J+	No qualification	
30% < %R < 150%	No qualification		
10% < %R < 30%	J-	UJ	
%R < 10% (sample dilution not a factor)	J- R		
%R < 10% (sample dilution is a factor)	Use professional judgment		
RT out of RT window	Use professional judgment		
RT within RT window	No qualification		

^{*} Use professional judgment in qualifying data, as surrogate recovery problems may not directly apply to target analytes.

All criteria were metN/A
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples. If any % R in the MS or MSD falls outside the designated range, the reviewer should determine if there are matrix effects, i.e. LCS data are within the QC limits but MS/MSD data are outside QC limit.

MS/MSD Recoveries and Precision Criteria

List the %Rs, RPD of the compounds which do not meet the criteria.

Data for MS and MSDs will not be present unless requested by the Region.

Notify the Contract Laboratory Program Project Officer (CLP PO) if a field blank was used for the MS and MSD, unless designated as such by the Region.

NOTE: For a Matrix Spike that does not meet criteria, apply the action to only the field sample used to prepare the Matrix Spike sample. If it is clearly stated in the data validation materials that the samples were taken through incremental sampling or some other method guaranteeing the homogeneity of the sample group, then the entire sample group may be qualified.

Sample ID:				Matrix/Level:			
MS OR MSD	COMPOUND	% R	RPD	QC LIMITS	ACTION		
<u> </u>	<u> </u>		_				

Note: No MS/MSD analyzed with this data package. Blank spike/blank spike duplicate used to assess accuracy. % recoveries and RPD within laboratory control limits.

Action

No qualification of the data is necessary on MS and MSD data alone. However, using professional judgment, the validator may use the MS and MSD results in conjunction with other QC criteria and determine the need for some qualification of the data.

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE (LCS) ANALYSIS

This data is generated to determine accuracy of the analytical method for various matrices.

1. LCS Recoveries Criteria

LCS Spike Compound	Recovery Limits (%)
gamma-BHC	50 – 120
Heptachlor epoxide	50 – 150
Dieldrin	30 – 130
4,4'-DDE	50 – 150
Endrin	50 – 120
Endosulfan sulfate	50 – 120
trans-Chlordane	30 – 130
Tetrachloro-m-xylene (surrogate)	30 – 150
Decachlorobiphenyl (surrogate)	30 – 150

	:0.25_ug/L	<u> </u>	
LCS ID	COMPOUND	% R	QC LIMIT
 			_ = = =
 	= _		

Action

The following guidance is suggested for qualifying sample data for which the associated LCS does not meet the required criteria.

- a. If the LCS recovery exceeds the upper acceptance limit, qualify detected target compounds as estimated (J). Do not qualify non-detected target compounds.
- b. If the LCS recovery is less than the lower acceptance limit, qualify detected target compounds as estimated (J) and non-detects as unusable (R).
- c. Use professional judgment to qualify data for compounds other than those compounds that are included in the LCS.
- d. Use professional judgment to qualify non-LCS compounds. Take into account the compound class, compound recovery efficiency, analytical problems associated with each compound, and comparability in the performance of the LCS compound to the non-LCS compound.
- e. If the LCS recovery is within allowable limits, no qualification of the data is necessary.

2. Frequency Criteria:

Where LCS analyzed at the required frequency and for each matrix? <u>Yes</u> or No. If no, the data may be affected. Use professional judgment to determine the severity of the effect and qualify data accordingly. Discuss any actions below and list the samples affected.

Note: Blank spike/blank spike duplicate analyzed for solid and aqueous matrices. % recoveries and RPD within laboratory control limits.

All criteria were met	
Criteria were not met	
and/or see belowN/A	

FLORISIL CARTRIDGE PERFORMANCE CHECK

NOTE: Florisil cartridge cleanup is mandatory for all extracts.

Criteria

Is the Florisil cartridge performance check conducted at least once on each lot of cartridges used for sample cleanup or every 6 months, whichever is most frequent?

Yes? or No?

N/A

Criteria

Are the results for the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check solution included with the data package?

Yes? or No?

N/A

Note: If % criteria are not met, examine the raw data for the presence of polar interferences and use professional judgment in qualifying the data as follows:

Action:

- a. If the Percent Recovery is greater than 120% for any of the pesticide target compounds in the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check, qualify detected compounds as estimated (J). Do not qualify non-detected target compounds.
- b. If the Percent Recovery is greater than or equal to 80% and less than or equal to 120% for all the pesticide target compounds, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- c. If the Percent Recovery is greater than or equal to 10% and less than 80% for any of the pesticide target compounds in the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check, qualify detected target compounds as estimated (J) and non-detected target compounds as approximated (UJ).
- d. If the Percent Recovery is less than 10% for any of the pesticide target compounds in the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check, qualify detected compounds as estimated (J) and qualify non-detected target compounds as unusable (R).
- e. If the Percent Recovery of 2,4,5-trichlorophenol in the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check is greater than or equal to 5%, use professional judgment to qualify detected and non-detected target compounds, considering interference on the sample chromatogram.

Note: State in the Data Review Narrative potential effects on the sample data resulting from the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check analysis not yielding acceptable results.

Note: No information for florisil cartridge performance check included in data package.

All criteria were met _	N/A
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

GEL PERMEATION CHROMATOGRAPHY (GPC) PERFORMANCE CHECK

NOTE: GPC cleanup is mandatory for all soil samples.

If GPC criteria are not met, examine the raw data for the presence of high molecular weight contaminants; examine subsequent sample data for unusual peaks; and use professional judgment in qualifying the data. Notify the Contract Laboratory Program Project Officer (CLP PO) if the laboratory chooses to analyze samples under unacceptable GPC criteria.

Action:

- a. If the Percent Recovery is less than 10% for the pesticide compounds and surrogates during the GPC calibration check, the non-detected target compounds may be suspect, qualify detected compounds as estimated (J).
- b. If the Percent Recovery is less than 10% for the pesticide compounds and surrogates during the GPC calibration check, qualify all non-detected target compounds as unusable (R).
- c. If the Percent Recovery is greater than or equal to 10% and is less than 80% for any of the pesticide target compounds in the GPC calibration, qualify detected target compounds as estimated (UJ) and non-detected target compounds as approximated (UJ).
- d. If the Percent Recovery is greater than or equal to 80% and less than or equal to 120% for all the pesticide target compounds, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- e. If high recoveries (i.e., greater than 120%) were obtained for the pesticides and surrogates during the GPC calibration check, qualify detected compounds as estimated (J). Do not qualify non-detected target compounds.

Note: State in the Data Review Narrative potential effects on the sample data resulting from the GPC cleanup analyses not yielding acceptable results.

Note: No information for performance of GPC cleanup included in data package. No qualification of the data performed, professional judgment.

All criteria were metX	_
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

TARGET COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

Criteria:

- 1. Is Retention Times (RTs) of both of the surrogates and reported target compounds in each sample within the calculated RT Windows on both columns? Yes? or No?
- 2. Is the Tetrachloro-m-xylene (TCX) RT ± 0.05 minutes of the Mean RT (RT) determined from the initial calibration and Decachlorobiphenyl (DCB) within ± 0.10 minutes of the RT determined from the initial calibration? Yes? or No?
- 3. Is the Percent Difference (%D) for the detected mean concentrations of a pesticide target compound between the two Gas Chromatograph (GC) columns within the inclusive range of \pm 25.0 %?

 Yes? or No?
- 4. When no analytes are identified in a sample; are the chromatograms from the analyses of the sample extract and the low-point standard of the initial calibration associated with those analyses on the same scaling factor?

 Yes? or No?
- 5. Does the chromatograms display the Single Component Pesticides (SCPs) detected in the sample and the largest peak of any multi-component analyte detected in the sample at less than full scale.

 Yes? or No?
- 6. If an extract is diluted; does the chromatogram display SCPs peaks between 10-100% of full scale, and multi-component analytes between 25-100% of full scale? Yes? or No? N/A
- 7. For any sample; does the baseline of the chromatogram return to below 50% of full scale before the elution time of alpha-BHC, and also return to below 25% of full scale after the elution time of alpha-BHC and before the elution time of DCB?

 Yes? or No?
- 8. If a chromatogram is replotted electronically to meet these requirements; is the scaling factor used displayed on the chromatogram, and both the initial chromatogram and the replotted chromatogram submitted in the data package.

 Yes? or No?

Action:

- a. If the qualitative criteria for both columns were not met, all target compounds that are reported as detected should be considered non-detected.
- b. Use professional judgment to assign an appropriate quantitation limit using the following guidance:
 - If the detected target compound peak was sufficiently outside the pesticide RT Window, the reported values may be a false positive and should be replaced with the sample Contract Required Quantitation Limits (CRQL) value.

ii. If the detected target compound peak poses an interference with potential detection of another target peak, the reported value should be considered and qualified as unusable (R).

c. If the data reviewer identifies a peak in both GC column analyses that falls within the appropriate RT Windows, but was reported as a non-detect, the compound may be a false negative. Use professional judgment to decide if the compound should be included.

Note: State in the Data Review Narrative all conclusions made regarding target compound identification.

- d. If the Toxaphene peak RT windows determined from the calibration overlap with SCPs or chromatographic interferences, use professional judgment to qualify the data.
- e. If target compounds were detected on both GC columns, and the Percent Difference between the two results is greater than 25.0%, consider the potential for coelution and use professional judgment to decide whether a much larger concentration obtained on one column versus the other indicates the presence of an interfering compound. If an interfering compound is indicated, use professional judgment to determine how best to report, and if necessary, qualify the data according to these guidelines.
- f. If Toxaphene exhibits a marginal pattern-matching quality, use professional judgment to establish whether the differences are due to environmental "weathering" (i.e., degradation of the earlier eluting peaks relative to the later eluting peaks). If the presence of Toxaphene is strongly suggested, report results as presumptively present (N).

GAS CHROMATOGRAPH/MASS SPECTROMETER (GC/MS) CONFIRMATION

NOTE: This confirmation is not usually provided by the laboratory. In cases where it is provided, use professional judgment to determine if data qualified with "C" can be salvaged if it was previously qualified as unusable (R).

Action:

- a. If the quantitative criteria for both columns were met (\geq 5.0 ng/µL for SCPs and \geq 125 ng/µL for Toxaphene), determine whether GC/MS confirmation was performed. If it was performed, qualify the data using the following guidance:
 - i. If GC/MS confirmation was not required because the quantitative criteria for both columns was not met, but it was still performed, use professional judgment when evaluating the data to decide whether the detect should be qualified with "C".
 - ii. If GC/MS confirmation was performed, but unsuccessful for a target compound detected by GC/ECD analysis, qualify those detects as "X".

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

COMPOUND QUANTITATION AND REPORTED CONTRACT REQUIRED QUANTITATION LIMITS (CRQLS)

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

JC19855-1	Decachlorobiphenyl	RF = 0.812
[] = =	(110.9 x 10 ⁶)(50)/(383.6 X 10 ⁶)(0.812) 17.8 ppb Ok	

Action:

- a. If sample quantitation is different from the reported value, qualify result as unusable (R).
- b. When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lowest CRQLs are used unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of the higher CRQLs from the diluted sample.
- c. Replace concentrations that exceed the calibration range in the original analysis by crossing out the "E" and its corresponding value on the original reporting form and substituting the data from the diluted sample.
- d. Results between the MDL and CRQL should be qualified as estimated (J).
- e. Results less than the MDL should be reported at the CRQL and qualified (U). MDLs themselves are not reported.
- f. For non-aqueous samples, if the percent moisture is less than 70.0%, no qualification of the data is necessary. If the percent moisture is greater than or equal to 70.0% and less than 90.0%, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as approximated (UJ). If the percent moisture is greater than or equal to 90.0%, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as unusable (R) (see Table).

Percent Moisture Actions for Pesticide Analysis for Non-Aqueous Samples

Criteria	Action		
	Detected Associated	Non-detected Associated	
	Compounds	Compounds	
% Moisture < 70.0	No qualification		
70.0 < % Moisture < 90.0	J	UJ	
% Moisture > 90.0	J	R '	

st samples which have	e ≤ 50 % solids		
			8
		·	
			
			 ·

Note: If any discrepancies are found, the Region's designated representative may contact the laboratory to obtain additional information that could resolve any differences. If a discrepancy remains unresolved, the reviewer must use professional judgment to decide which value is the most accurate. Under these circumstances, the reviewer may determine that qualification of data is warranted. Note in the Data Review Narrative a description of the reasons for data qualification and the qualification that is applied to the data.

Dilution performed

SAMPLE ID	DILUTION FACTOR	REASON FOR DILUTION
<u></u>		

All criteria were metN/A	
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

FIELD DUPLICATE PRECISION

NOTE: In the absence of QAPP guidance for validating data from field duplicates, the following action will be taken.

Field duplicates samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples. Identify which samples within the data package are field duplicates. Estimate the relative percent difference (RPD) between the values for each compound. If large RPDs (> 50%) is observed, confirm identification of samples and note difference in the executive summary.

Sample ID:	s:			Matrix:	
COMPOUND	SQL ug/L	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD	ACTION
			this data package. LC within the required cri		

Actions:

- a. Qualify as estimated positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ) for the compound that exceeded the above criteria. For organics, only the sample and duplicate will be qualified.
- b. If an RPD cannot be calculated because one or both of the sample results is not detected, the following actions apply:
 - i. If one sample result is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL qualify (J/UJ).
 - ii. If one sample value is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL and the SQLs for the sample and duplicate are significantly different, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.
 - iii. If one sample value is not detected and the other is less than 5x, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.
 - iv. If both sample and duplicate results are not detected, no action is needed.

OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF DATA

Action:

- 1. Use professional judgment to determine if there is any need to qualify data which were not qualified based on the Quality Control (QC) criteria previously discussed.
- 2. Write a brief narrative to give the user an indication of the analytical limitations of the data.

Note: The Contract Laboratory Program Project Officer (CLP PO) must be informed if any inconsistency of the data with the Sample Delivery Group (SDG) Narrative. If sufficient information on the intended use and required quality of the data is available, the reviewer should include their assessment of the usability of the data within the given context. This may be used as part of a formal Data Quality Assessment (DQA).

Overall assessment of the data:

Results are valid; the data can be used for decision making purposes.

EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

SDG No:

JC19855

Laboratory:

Accutest, New Jersey

Analysis:

SW846-8015C

Number of Samples:

3

Location:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area

Humacao, PR

SUMMARY:

Two (2) samples were analyzed for the low molecular weight alcohols (LMWAs) list following method SW846-8015C. The sample results were assessed according to USEPA data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence: "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods SW-846 (Final Update III, December 1996)," specifically for Methods 8000/8015C are utilized. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

-

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Critical issues:

None

Major:

None

Minor:

None

Critical findings:

None

Major findings:

None

Minor findings:

None

COMMENTS:

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Reviewers Name:

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Signature:

May 30, 2016

Date:

SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample ID: JC19855-1

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 5/5/2016

Matrix: AQ - Equipment Blank

METHOD: 8015C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ethanol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Isobutyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Isopropyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Propyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Butyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	•	UJ	Yes
sec-Butyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Methanol	200	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: JC19855-2

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 5/6/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8015C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ethanol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Isobutyl Alcohoi	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Isopropyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Propyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Butyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	UJ	Yes
sec-Butyl Alcohol	100	ug/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Methanol	200	ug/i	1.0	-	U	Yes

	Project Number:JC19855
	Date:05/05-06/2016
	Shipping Date:05/06/2016
	EPA Region: 2
	•
REVIEW OF VOLATILE OR	GANIC PACKAGE
The following guidelines for evaluating volatile organics were of	created to delineate required validation actions. This
document will assist the reviewer in using professional judgm	nent to make more informed decision and in better
serving the needs of the data users. The sample results we	ere assessed according to USEPA data validation
guidance documents in the following order of precedence	ce: "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste,
Physical/Chemical Methods SW-846 (Final Update III, December utilized. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed or	
guidance document, unless otherwise noted.	i the data review worksheets are from the primary
The hardcopied (laboratory name) _Accutest	data nackane received has been reviewed
and the quality control and performance data summarized. The	
The second control and partitioned data definitions	Thousand data to now for 1000 mondod.
Lab. Project/SDG No.:JC19855	Sample matrix: Groundwater
No. of Samples:2	
•	
Trip blank No.:	
rieid diank No.:	
Equipment blank No.:JC19855-1	
Field duplicate No.:	
V 5.4.0 1.4	
X Data Completeness	X Laboratory Control Spikes
X Holding Times	X Field Duplicates
N/A_ GC/MS Tuning	X Calibrations
N/A_ Internal Standard Performance	X Compound Identifications
X Blanks	X Compound Quantitation
X Surrogate Recoveries	X Quantitation Limits
X Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Overell Commonto Love male adam at the state	.1. 1. 004 040 00450
Overall Comments:_Low_molecular_weight_alcoh	ols_by_SW-846_8015C
Definition of Qualifiers:	
J- Estimated results	
U- Compound not detected	
R- Rejected data // /	
UJ- Estimated nondetect //	
Reviewer: Cafail gullet	2.1140
Date:May_30,_2016	

DATA COMPLETENESS

MISSING INFORMATION	DATE LAB. CONTACTED	DATE RECEIVED
4		
	<u> </u>	
		-0

All criteria were met _X_
Critena were not met
and/or see below

HOLDING TIMES

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

SAMPLE ID	DATE SAMPLED	DATE ANALYZED	pН	ACTION	
All samples anal preserved.	yzed within the re	commended method he	olding ti	me. All samples	properly
-					

<u>Criteria</u>

Aqueous samples - 14 days from sample collection for preserved samples (pH \leq 2, 4°C), no air bubbles. Aqueous samples - 7 days from sample collection for unpreserved samples, 4°C, no air bubbles. Soil samples- 7 days from sample collection. Cooler temperature (Criteria: 4 \pm 2 °C): 2.5°C

Actions

If the VOCs vial(s) have air bubbles, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If the % solids of soil samples is 10-50%, estimates positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ)

If the % solid of soil samples is < 10%, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If holding times are exceeded but \leq 14 days beyond criteria, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If holding times are exceeded but < 28 days beyond criteria, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If holding times are grossly exceeded (> 28 days beyond criteria), reject all results (R).

If samples were not iced or if the ice were melted (> 10°C), estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

	All criteria were mettvA_ Criteria were not met see below
GC/MS TUNING	
The assessment of the tuning results is to determine if the sample instrurtuning QC limits	nentation is within the standard
N/A_ The BFB performance results were reviewed and found to be with	in the specified criteria.
N/A_ BFB tuning was performed for every 12 hours of sample analysis.	
If no, use professional judgment to determine whether the associated dat or rejected.	a should be accepted, qualified
List the samples affected:	
If mass calibration is in error, all associated data are rejected.	

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration:	05/17/16	
Dates of initial calibration verification:	05/17/16	
Dates of continuing calibration verifica	ation:05/18/16	
Dates of final calibration verification:_	05/18/16	
Instrument ID number:	GCGH	y a
	_Aqueous/low	

DATE	LAB FILE ID#	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, <u>%D</u> , r	COMPOUND	SAMPLES AFFECTED
				legil legil

Note: Initial, continuing, and final calibration verifications meets method specific.

Criteria

All RFs must be > 0.05 regardless of method requirements for SPCC.

All %RSD must be \leq 15 % regardless of method requirements for CCC.

All %Ds must be ≤ 20% regardless of method requirements for CCC.

It should be noted that Region 2 SOP HW-24 does not specify criterion for the curve correlation coefficient (r). A limit for r of \geq 0.995 has therefore been utilized as professional judgment.

Actions

If any compound has an initial RF or a continuing RF of < 0.05, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R), regardless of method requirements.

If any compound has a %RSD > 15%, estimate positive results (J) and use professional judgment to qualify nondetects.

If any compound has a %RSD > 90%, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If any compound has a % D > 20%, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If any compound has a % D > 20%, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If any compound has a % D > 90%, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If any compound has r < 0.995, estimate positive results and nondetects.

A separate worksheet should be filled for each initial curve

All criteria were met _X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

V A. BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Sections 1 & 2)

The assessment of the blank analysis results is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination problems. The criteria for evaluation of blanks apply only to blanks associated with the samples, including trip, equipment, and laboratory blanks. If problems with any blanks exist, all data associated with the case must be carefully evaluated to determine whether or not there is an inherent variability in the data for the case, or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data.

List the contamination in the blanks below. High and low levels blanks must be treated separately.

Laboratory blanks

DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
			ic_criteria	
				
Field/ <u>Equipmen</u>	<u>t</u> /Trip blank			
DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
			ment_blankNo_field/t	rip_blanks_included_in_this_data_

All criteria were met _X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

VB. BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

Blank Actions

Action Levels (ALs) should be based upon the highest concentration of contaminant determined in any blank. Do not qualify any blank with another blank. The ALs for samples which have been diluted should be corrected for the sample dilution factor and/or % moisture, where applicable. No positive sample results should be reported unless the concentration of the compound in the samples exceeds the ALs:

ALs = 10x the amount of common contaminants (methylene chloride, acetone, 2-butanone, and toluene) ALs = 5x for any other compounds

Specific actions are as follows:

If the concentration is < sample quantitation limit (SQL) and \le AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the SQL.

If the concentration is \geq SQL but \leq AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the reported concentration.

If the concentration is \geq SQL and > AL, report the concentration unqualified.

Notes:

High and low level blanks must be treated separately

Compounds qualified "U" for blank contamination are still considered "hits" when qualifying for calibration criteria.

CONTAMINATION SOURCE/LEVEL	COMPOUND	CONC/UNITS	AL/UNITS	SQL	AFFECTED SAMPLES
					A
				0.55	
			400000000000000000000000000000000000000		
			1000		
		1			
	1000			1	
	1				
		-			

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment. List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for surrogate recovery. Matrix: solid/aqueous

SAMPLE ID		SURROGATE COMPOUND			ACTION	
	Hexanol	DBFM	TOL-d8	BFB		
_All_surrogate_re	coveries_within	_laboratory_coi	ntrol_limits			
	==	-				
		· ·				
QC Limits* (Aque	ous)					
		23to	to	to		
QC Limits* (Solid-						
		21to_	to_	to		
QC Limits* (Solid-	-Med)					
LL_to_UL	to	to	to	to		
1,2-DCA = 1,2-Die		14	TOL-d	8 = Toluene-d8		
DBFM = Dibromo	fluoromethane		BFB =	Bromofluoroben	zene	
* QC limits	are laboratory in	n-house perform	nance criteria, L	L = lower limit, U	L = upper limit	
				r aqueous and 7		
samples.				•		
Actions:						

QUALITY	%R < 10%	%R = 10% - LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J	J
Nondetects results	R	ΠΊ	Accept

Surrogate action should be applied:

If one or more surrogate in the VOC fraction is out of specification, but has a recovery of > 10%. If any one surrogate in a fraction shows < 10 % recovery.

All criteria were met _X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

VII. A MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples. If any % R in the MS or MSD falls outside the designated range, the reviewer should determine if there are matrix effects, i.e. LCS data are within the QC limits but MS/MSD data are outside QC limit.

1. MS/MSD Recoveries and Precision Criteria

The laboratory should use one MS and a duplicate analysis of an unspiked field sample if target analytes are expected in the sample. If target analytes are not expected, MS/MSD should be analyzed.

List the %Rs, RPD of the compounds which do not meet the criteria.

Sample ID:JC	20386-1MS/-MSD	· -	_	Matrix/Level:_	Aqueous	_
MS OR MSD	COMPOUND	% R	RPD	QC LIMITS	ACTION	
MS/MSD%_re	ecoveries_and_RPD_	within_lab	oratory_	control_limits		
30.4 20						
						100

Actions:

QUALITY	%R < LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J
Nondetects results	R	Accept

QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.

^{*} If QC limits are not available, use limits of 70 – 130 %.

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

MS/MSD criteria apply only to the unspiked sample, its dilutions, and the associated MS/MSD samples:

If the % R for the affected compounds were < LL (or 70 %), qualify positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If the % R for the affected compounds were > UL (or 130 %), only qualify positive results (J). If 25 % or more of all MS/MSD %R were < LL (or 70 %) or if two or more MS/MSD %Rs were < 10%, qualify all positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

VII. B MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD – Unspiked Compounds

It should be noted that Region 2 SOP HW-24 does not specify a MS/MSD criteria for the unspiked compounds in the sample. A %RSD of < 50% has therefore been utilized as professional judgment.

If all target analytes were spiked in the MS/MSD, this review element is not applicable.

List the %RSD of the compounds which do not meet the criteria.

Sample ID:			Matrix/Level/Unit:			_
COMPOUND	SAMPLE CONC.	MS CONC.	MSD CONC.	% RSD	ACTION	- 31
	2/1					
			The state of the s	San		y nam
		CHECKEL CHECK				
The state of the s			<u></u>			

Actions:

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

^{*} If the % RSD > 50, qualify the positive result in the unspiked samples as estimated (J).

^{*} If the % RSD is not calculated (NC) due to nondetected value, use professional judgment to qualify the data.

All criteria were met _	_X
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

VIII. LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE (LCS) ANALYSIS

This data is generated to determine accuracy of the analytical method for various matrices.

1. LCS Recoveries Criteria

Where LCS spiked with the same analyte at the same concentrations as the MS/MSD? Yes or No. If no make note in data review memo.

List the %R of compounds which do not meet the criteria

	LCS ID	COMPOUND	% R	QC LIMIT
Recoveries	s_within_laborat	ory_control_limits		
MP.	94 D.do			

- * QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.
- * If QC limits are not available, use limits of 70 130 %.

Actions:

QUALITY	%R < LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J
Nondetects results	R	Accept

All analytes in the associated sample results are qualified for the following criteria.

If 25 % of the LCS recoveries were < LL (or 70 %), qualify all positive results (j) and reject nondetects (R).

If two or more LCS were below 10 %, qualify all positive results as (J) and reject nondetects (R).

2. Frequency Criteria:

Where LCS analyzed at the required frequency and for each matrix? <u>Yes</u> or No. If no, the data may be affected. Use professional judgment to determine the severity of the effect and qualify data accordingly. Discuss any actions below and list the samples affected.

		All criteria were metN/A Criteria were not met and/or see below
IX.	FIELD/LABORATORY DUPLICATE PRECISION	
	Sample IDs:	Matrix:

Field/laboratory duplicates samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples.

The project QAPP should be reviewed for project-specific information.

Suggested criteria: RPD + 30% for aqueous samples, RPD + 50 % for solid samples. If both samples and duplicate are <5 SQL, the RPD criteria is doubled.

COMPOUND	SQL	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD	ACTION
			 this data package. MS/l pratory and generally ac		recoveries RPD used to control limits.

Actions:

Qualify as estimated positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ) for the compound that exceeded the above criteria. For organics, only the sample and duplicate will be qualified.

If an RPD cannot be calculated because one or both of the sample results is not detected, the following actions apply:

If one sample result is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL qualify (J/UJ).

If one sample value is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL and the SQLs for the sample and duplicate are significantly different, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If one sample value is not detected and the other is less than 5x, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If both sample and duplicate results are not detected, no action is needed.

Actions:

All critena were metN/A	
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

X. INTERNAL STANDARD PERFORMANCE

The assessment of the internal standard (IS) parameter is used to assist the data reviewer in determining the condition of the analytical instrumentation.

List the internal standard area of samples which do not meet the criteria.

- * Area of +100% or -50% of the IS area in the associated calibration standard.
- * Retention time (RT) within 30 seconds of the IS area in the associated calibration standard.

DATE	SAMPLE ID	IS OUT	IS AREA	RANGE	ACTION
					A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR
		Contract of the last of the la			
100 mm					
	1778				

1. IS actions should be applied to the compound quantitated with the out-of-control ISs

QUALITY	IS AREA < -25%	IS AREA = -25 % TO - 50%	IS AREA > + 100%
Positive results	J	J	J
Nondetected results	R	UJ	ACCEPT

2. If a IS retention time varies more than 30 seconds, the chromatographic profile for that sample must be examined to determine if any false positive or negative exists. For shifts of a large magnitude, the reviewer may consider partial or total rejection of the data for the sample fraction.

Αll	crite	ena	were	met_	_X
Cri	tena	we	re no	l mel	
and	d/or	see	belo	w	_

XII. SAMPLE QUANTITATION

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

Blank Spike

n-Butanol

$$RF = 28.56$$

[] = (149295)/(28.56)

= 5,227 ppm OK

All criteria were met _X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

XII. QUANTITATION LIMITS

A. Dilution performed

DILUTION FACTOR	REASON FOR DILUTION
	-
7.00	
	100
	y 15 = 1 25
	DILUTION FACTOR

3.	Percent Solids	
	List samples which have ≤ 50 % solids	
		

Actions:

If the % solids of a soil sample is 10-50%, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ)

If the % solids of a soil sample is < 10%, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R)